Carbon Sequestration Capacity and Measurement of Eco-system of Moso Bamboo Forest

Prof. Zhou Guomo

Zhejiang Forestry University

Dec 17th, 2008

E TONE

Outline



- I. Characteristics of moso bamboo resource distribution and utilization
- II. Carbon sequestration capacity of the eco-system of moso bamboo forest
- III. Carbon sequestration measurement and monitoring of moso bamboo forest
- IV. Thinking on carbon sequestration measurement technology for moso bamboo forest



I. Characteristics of moso bamboo resource distribution and utilization



Situation of world bamboo resources



Bamboo belongs to gramineous bambusadea, with known 150 genus and 1,225 species worldwide; the bamboo forests cover 14 million ha.

- Asian and Atlantic area: Bamboo forest area takes approximately 80% of the world bamboo forests
- American area: About 20 genus and 300 species of woody bamboos.
- African area: Comparatively the smallest in terms of size.



Bamboo Resource of China



China is locating at the heart of bamboo-distributed area with rich bamboo resources

- There are mainly 40 genus and over 400 species of bamboos in China.
- Bamboo forest area covers 8 million ha, taking 40% of the world bamboo forest area and 57% of that in Asia-Pacific area.
- The bamboos in China are mainly moso bamboo, taking 75% of the material forests and covering more than 6 million ha.
- The annual harvesting of moso bamboo nationwide is 400 million in 2006, 12 million tons, creating annual production of RMB17 billion yuan (including production RMB6.3 billion yuan from bamboo products processing)





province (city)



province (city)



The production value of moso bamboo products in each province (city)

tillint.

Distribution of moso bamboo forests China



Moso bamboo area in each province

Moso bamboo quantity in each province





The year-to-year size and yield change of main moso bamboo forest areas in China





Moso bamboo resources in Zhejiang Province



Zhejiang Province is a typical original place of moso bamboo resource with moso bamboo forests widely distributing in all the counties (cities). The area, output and bamboo products value take very important places on Chinese market.

- Moso bamboo forest area in Zhejiang: 620,600 ha
- Quantity: 1.661 billion
- Production value: RMB1.481 billion yuan, taking 38% of the total national production (according to China Forestry Statistical Yearbook in 2006)





Layout of moso bamboo forests in each county (city, district) of Zhejiang Province

Entitut.



county (city and district) of Zhejiang

The growth features of moso bamboo

- Moso bamboo forest is a typical uneven-aged forest
- Fast growth speed—Grow up in 50 days, after that bamboos do not grow any longer in terms of height and diameter
- After seven years, the forest can be continuously harvested every two years and sustainably used.







Simulation of growth, harvesting and using of moso bamboo



Use of moso bamboo



Bamboo can be widely used to: bamboo plywood, flooring, furniture, arts and crafts, charcoal, etc. It is of excellent economic benefit, but also gives full play to its carbon storage function.













Bamboo plywood used as the bottom board of vehicle



Bamboo flooring







Bamboo plywood used for reinforced concrete



Bamboo council board and bookcase





Bamboo plywood furniture





Raw bamboo charcoal

Bamboo charcoal pieces





Bamboo charcoal powder



Bamboo weaving

Bamboo carving





旋切竹单板







1953~2006 outputs of bamboos and timbers of China



Bamboo

Timber

After China took measures to restrict logging of natural forest, the bamboo output rapidly increased since 1990s, which has increased by six times in 2006 in contrast with that of 1990 and still has large development margin.

Moso bamboo's characteristics on upgrade and carbon sequestration



➢ Moso bamboo grows fast. The formed moso bamboo forest can be harvested every two years by 1/3 of existing quantity, while the bamboo forest stand remains balanced growth dynamics.

Moso bamboo has very developed and inter-weaving rhizomeroot, leading to excellent water and soil conservation funciton. Moso bamboo forest is of great CO_2 sequestration capacity, which is significant to balance the CO_2 in the atmosphere.





II. The carbon sequestration capacity of the eco-system in moso bamboo forest





- For over 6 years since 2002, through field researches and pilot works on set points, we cut down 253 moso bamboo samples and collected 230 sampled lands where pure moso bamboo forest system exists.
- Systematically studied the carbon content, carbon storage and space layout, as well as dynamic change in the eco-system of moso bamboo forest on stalk, branch, leave, root and culm stump, as well as on arbor, shrub, grass, soil vegetation and soil, in addition to the dyanmic change of active organic carbon of soil in different management processes.



Rule of individual moso bamboo in carbon accumulation in growth



- The growth of moso bamboo (shoot) takes a "S-shaped" curve in a "slow-fastslow" trend. From shooting to young bamboo, only 35-40 days needed; In the following time, its height and size do not change dramatically, only substances stored continue accumulating.
- The accumulated fresh (dry) biomass in growth mainly depends on the ground diameter of moso bamboo, the larger the diameter is, the more the biomasses are.
- In the process of growth, the carbon content of moso bamboo may slightly increases, but should remain between 440 g• kg-1~ 460 g• kg-1; the carbon storage of individual bamboo (shoot) preliminarily averages at 0.0400kg, the carbon storage may increased to 45.5 times as the young bamboo grows up, averaging at 1.823kg.

Dynamic change of carbon storage in different growth period





Spatial layout of carbon in individual moso bamboo



 Carbon content: The carbon content in different parts of moso bamboo fluctuates between 0.4683 ~ 0.5210, the order from high to low is root > stalk > culm stump > branch > scourage > leaf. The average carbon content in each part of moso bamboo is 0.5042.

• Carbon storage: Carbon storage reaches the highest point 50.97% in stalk, followed by root, taking 19.79%, the last one is leaf, only taking 4.87%.





- Comparison of carbon contents between moso bamboo and ordinary forest trees
 - The carbon content in different part of moso bamboo usually fluctuates between
 0.4683 ~ 0.5210, which is similar to ordinary forest trees (China's fast-growing fir, 18 a exotic pine, tropical rainforest).
 - > Carbon content in different part is different from ordinary forest trees
 - Fir: The carbon content in leaf is larger than that in trunk, the figure in trunk is larger than that in root.
 - Oak forest in southern Jiangsu and exotic pine: The carbon content in leaf is

larger than that in branch, trunk and root

Rules of carbon accumulation in growth of moso bamboo forest



The intensive-managed moso bamboo forest accumulates 12.7496 t-hm⁻² carbon in one year

- New bamboo (within 1 year) accumulates 11.3890 t-hm⁻² carbon (accounting for 89.33%)
- ✓ Old bamboo (3-5 years) accumulates 0.6481 t-hm⁻² carbon in one year
- ✓ The withered parts accumulate 1.1725 t-hm⁻²
- ✓ The withered parts release 0.4690 t⋅hm⁻²



- The extensively managed moso bamboo forest accumulates 8.1443t-hm² carbon in 1 year
 - ✓ New bamboo (within 1 year) accumulates 6.0563 t⋅hm⁻² (accounting for 74.36%)
 - ✓ Old bamboo (3-5 years) accumulated carbon increases by 0.4211 t⋅hm⁻² in average.
 - ✓ The undergrowth vegetation accumulates 0.5459 t⋅hm⁻²
 - The withered parts accumulate 2.1558t-hm-2
 - ✓ The withered parts release 1.0348 t⋅hm⁻²

The carbon accumulation in on-ground parts is 1.46 times of fast-growing fir, 1.33 times of tropical mountainous rainforest and 2.16 times of 27 a fir forest in southern Jiangsu.

 The carbon accumulation in eco-system of intensively managed moso bamboo forest (vegetation part) is 1.56 times of that of extensively-managed moso bamboo forest in 1 year. Spatial layout of carbon storage in eco-system of moso bamboo forest



The carbon storage in eco-system of moso bamboo forest is 106.362 t-hm⁻²

The depth of soil is: $0 \sim 60$ cm

Level	Arbor	Shrub	Herbaceou s	Withered litters	Soil
Carbon storage /t-hm ⁻²	30.580	3.170	0.481	0.656	71.475
Percentage /%	28.75	2.98	0.45	0.62	67.20


Dynamic change of active organic carbon in soil of moso bamboo forest



- After intensive management, the carbon contents in soil dropped, intensively manage 0-20cm soil of the bamboo forest, the organic carbon storage in 1 hm² soil may reduce by 4.475 tons.
- After intensive management, the organic carbon, micro-biomass carbon, water-soluble carbon and mineral carbon contents all significantly dropped (P<0.05).
- After intensive management, the organic carbon in soil drops continuously, and tends to be stable after it drops to 20a.
- Within 20 a from extensive management to intensive management, total organic carbon in soil drops by 34.70%, micro-biomass carbon drops by 49.35%



III. Carbon sequestration measurement and monitoring of moso bamboo forest Carbon sequestration project of moso bamboo in

Lin'an



Overview of the project



The carbon sequestration project of moso bamboo forest in Lin'an was sponsored by China Green Carbon Fund, approved by the Carbon Sequestration Management Office of SFA and implemented by Zhejiang Forestry University, building 47.72 ha moso bamboo forest in Zaoxi Town, Lin'an City.



Significance of the Project



- The Project plays an important role in addressing global warming, innovating development mechanism of forestry, publicizing and demonstrating carbon sequestration, promoting local forestry construction.
- The Project is of excellent social,

ecological and economic benefits.





- Measurement principle
- Carbon pool selection
- Determination of GHG emission source
- Measurement method and result



Measurement principle



• Conservation

When choosing parameters, enable the increase of carbon storage under baseline conditions be overestimated, or increase of carbon storage under baseline conditions be underestimated or emission under project conditions be overestimated

- Transparency
- Priority and comparability
- Reduce uncertainty
- Cost-effectiveness

When choosing carbon measurement and monitoring method, including determining carbon pool and parameters, consider the accuracy and correctness of measurement and monitoring, plus cost factor and find a reasonable balance



Carbon pool selection



- Total five carbon pools: On-ground biomass, underground biomass, withered litters, coarse wood litter and soil organism
- Select three carbon pools : On-ground biomass, underground biomass and soil organism
- Ignore two carbon pools : Withered litters and coarse wood litters

Since this forest land has been bared for years before afforestation, the carbon storage in withered litters and coarse wood litters under baseline conditions will maintain the same or continue dropping.

Carbon pool	Choose or not	Reason for choosing or ignoring certain carbon pool	
On-ground biomass	Yes	Compulsory	
Underground biomass	Yes	Compulsory	
Soil organic carbon	Yes	Changes are complicated and cannot be ignored	
Withered litters	No	Base on conservation and cost- effectiveness	
Coarse wood litters	No	Base on conservation and cost- effectiveness	illuhe

Determination of GHG emission source



Afforestation activity may produce the following GHG emission sources (to be considered):

- Transportation means: CO2 emission caused by fossil fuel consumption of transportation means
- The use of fuel mechanic equipments: e.g. land leveller and chain saw.
- The application of fertilizer: Direct N₂O emission caused by nitrogen-contained organic fertilizer in afforestation and forest management activities.
- Forest fire: CO2 emission caused by fire shall be considered in measurement and monitoring of carbon storage change, while non- CO₂ emission (N₂O and CH₄) shall be accounted as emission within the project boundary.





Measurement method and result

- **1** Layer division beforehand
- **2** Change of carbon storage baseline
- **③** Change of carbon storage of the project
- GHG emission within the project boundary
- **6** GHG leaker beyond the project boundary
- 6 Measurement formula and result of carbon sequestration



① Layer division beforehand



- Layer division of baseline beforehand and layer division of project beforehand
- The layer division of baseline beforehand shall base on the vegetation status in the project area before afforestation, which mainly considers:
- Whether there are scattered trees and advantageous species, their ages: Used for estimation of carbon storage baseline changes
- Coverage of non-forest-tree vegetation, especially the type and coverage of shrub vegetation: Used for estimating biomass loss of vegetation
- Layer division of project beforehand mainly bases on afforestation and management model
- Main indexes include: Species, afforestation time, selective logging and rotation age





Layer division of baseline beforehand

		Scatter	ed tree		Shr	ub	Herbage			
No. of baseline carbon layer beforeha nd	Advant ageous species	Quantit y per ha	Averag e height diamet er cm	Averag e height m	Average coverag e	Averag e height m	Avera ge covera ge	Avera ge height m	Area ha	
BSL-1	Fir	480	5.2	3.0	25%	1.2	10%	0.7	33.66	
BSL-2					35%	1.2	10%	0.7	14.06	

Layer division of project beforehand

	No. of project carbon layer beforehan d	Afforestation species	Afforestation method	Mixed method	Initial density tree/hm ²	Farmyard manure Kg/hm ²	Area ha	
1 Annual C	PROJ-1	毛竹	散状匀栽	纯林	600	6000	15.4733	-
Anternation	PROJ-2	毛竹	团状丛栽	纯林	720	7200	32.2467	il in the second
			and the second second	and the second second	ANRIA Education			ulire

② Change of carbon storage baseline



- Under baseline, the carbon storage in the three carbon pools of soil, withered litters and coarse wood litters will remain constant or continue dropping. Conservatively assume the change is zero.
- Only consider the change of carbon storage in live biomass carbon pool caused by growth of scattered trees.

$$\Delta C_{BSL,t} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} (\Delta C_{BSL,AB,i,t} + \Delta C_{BSL,BB,i,t}) \cdot 44/12$$

Calculation of carbon storage of on-ground and underground biomasses



$$C_{BSL,AB,i,t} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} (M_{ij,t} \cdot WD_j \cdot BEF_j \cdot CF_j) \cdot A_i$$

$$C_{BSL,BB,i,t} = C_{BSL,AB,i,t} \cdot R_j$$
Biomass expansion factor method
$$C_{BSL,AB,i,t}$$
Carbon storage of on-ground biomass, t C
$$C_{BSL,BB,i,t}$$
Carbon storage of under-ground biomass, t C
$$M_{ij,t}$$
Stock per ha at the tth year, m3.ha⁻¹
WD_j
Timber density, dry weight per cubic meter (t d.m.m-3), fir is set at 0.307
$$CF_j$$
Biomass expansion factor, no unit, fir is set as 1.53×1.3
$$A_i$$
Average carbon content, fir is set as 0.47
$$A_i$$
R_j
Ratio of biomass in root and stem, no unit, set as 0.22

INALIU UL L TOOL AND SLEIN, NO UNIL, SEL AS U.22

③ Change of carbon storage of the Project

$$\Delta C_{PROJ,t} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\Delta C_{PROJ_B,AB,ijk,t} + \Delta C_{PROJ_B,BB,ijk,t}) \\ -\sum_{i=1}^{I} (\Delta C_{LOSS,AB,i,t} + \Delta C_{LOSS,BB,i,t}) \end{bmatrix} .44$$

 $\Delta C_{PROJ,t}$







 $\Delta C_{LOSS,BB,i,t}$

i, j, k



Change of carbon storage of on-ground biomass in the bamboo forest, t C.a.

Change of carbon storage of underground biomass in the bamboo forest, t C.a-1

Change of carbon storage of the project, t CO_2 .a⁻¹

2

Decrease of carbon storage of under-ground biomass of each baseline, t C.a⁻¹

Time, year

Carbon layer, species and forest age.

Change of carbon storage of biomass in the bamboo forest

Bamboo forest: The planted forest is believed to remain a relatively stable state of biomass after the forest reaches certain age.

$$\Delta C_{PROJ_EBS,AB,ijk,t} = \frac{C_{PROJ_EBS,AB,ij,Max}}{T_j} \cdot CF_j \cdot A_{ijk} = \frac{72}{6} \times 0.5042 \times 47.72 = 288.72$$

$$\Delta C_{PROJ_EBS,BB,ijk,t} = \frac{C_{PROJ_EBS,BB,ij,Max}}{T_j} \cdot CF_j \cdot A_{ijk} = \frac{23}{6} \times 0.4935 \times 47.72 = 90.27$$
C Decrease of biomass in the original vegetation

$$C_{BSL,t=0} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \left(C_{BSL,Tree,i,t=0} + C_{BSL,NTree,i,t=0} \right) \cdot 44/12$$

$$C_{BSL,t=0} \qquad \text{The original carbon storage in vegetations before the project (t=0), t CO_2}$$

$$The original carbon storage in biomass of scattered trees before the project (t=0), t C C_{BSL,NTree,i,t=0}$$

$$The original carbon storage in biomass of non-forest-tree vegetations before the project (t=0), t C C_{NTree,i,t=0}$$

④ GHG emission within the project boundary



- Measurement of GHG emission within the project boundary only considers:
- Direct N₂O emission caused by application of nitrogen-containing fertilizers
- CO₂ emission caused by use of fuel-consuming machineries in the

$$GHG_{E,t} = E_{Equpment,t} + E_{N_Fertilizer,t}$$

 GHG emission from forest fire cannot be measured beforehand, but shall be monitored and measured in the operation period of the project.

⑤ GHG emission beyond the Project boundary



Leakage mainly considers CO₂ emission from combustion of fossil fuel by transportation means.

$$LK_{Vehicle,t} = \sum_{f} (EF_{co_2,f} \cdot NCV_f \cdot FC_{f,t})$$

$$FC_{f,t} = \sum_{v=1}^{V} \sum_{i=1}^{I} n \cdot (MT_{f,v,i,t} / TL_{f,v,i}) \cdot AD_{f,v,i} \cdot SECk_{f,v,t}$$



(6) Measurement formula and result of carbon sequestration

The actual net carbon sequestration from the Project equals to the changed carbon storage of the Project minus the increased emission within the Project boundary, then minus the changed baseline carbon storage and again minus the leakage caused by afforestation project.

$$C_{\Pr{oj,t}} = \Delta C_{\Pr{oj,t}} - GHG_{E,t} - LK_t - \Delta C_{BSL,t}$$

$$C_{Proj,t}$$
Net carbon sequestration from the afforestation project, t CO2-e.a-1 $\Delta C_{Proj,t}$ ange of carbon storage of the project, t CO2.a-1 $GHG_{E,t}$ IG emission increased within the Project boundary, t CO2-e.a-1 LK_t Leakage caused by afforestation project, t CO2-e.a-1 $\Delta C_{BSL,t}$ ange of baseline carbon storage, t CO2.a-1

Net carbon sequestration of the project

左	项目碳储量变化		项目碳储量变化 项目温室气体排放		泄漏	泄漏		基线碳储量变化		项目净碳汇量	
份	年变化 tCO ₂ .a ⁻¹	累计 tCO ₂	年排放 tCO ₂ -e.a ⁻¹	累计 tCO ₂ -e	年排放 tCO ₂ -e.a ⁻¹	累计tCO ₂ - e	年变化 tCO ₂ .a⁻¹	累计 tCO ₂	年排放 tCO ₂ -e.a ⁻¹	累计tCO ₂ -e	
1	1007.3	1007.3	6.33	6.33	5.79	5.79	34.52	34.52	960.66	960.66	
2	1389.63	2396.93		6.33	0	5.79	50.88	85.4	1338.75	2299.41	
3	1389.63	3786.56	6.33	12.66	1.24	7.03	69.54	154.94	1312.52	3611.93	
4	1389.63	5176.19		12.66	0	7.03	89.7	244.65	1299.93	4911.86	
5	1389.63	6565.82	6.33	18.99	1.24	8.27	110.6	355.24	1271.46	6183.32	
6	1389.63	7955.45		18.99	1.09	9.36	131.56	486.8	1256.98	7440.3	
7	1389.63	9345.08	6.33	25.32	3.42	12.78	152.05	638.86	1227.83	8668.13	
8	1389.63	10734.71		25.32	2.18	14.96	171.67	810.52	1215.78	9883.91	
9	1389.63	12124.34		25.32	2.18	17.14	190.11	1000.64	1197.34	11081.25	
10	1389.63	13513.97		25.32	2.18	19.32	207.2	1207.83	1180.25	12261.5	
11	1389.63	14903.6		25.32	2.18	21.5	222.82	1430.65	1164.63	13426.13	
12	1389.63	16293.23		25.32	2.18	23.68	236.92	1667.57	1150.53	14576.66	
13	1389.63	17682.86		25.32	2.18	25.86	249.51	1917.08	1137.94	15714.6	
14	1389.63	19072.49		25.32	2.18	28.04	260.63	2177.71	1126.82	16841.42	
15	1389.63	20462.12		25.32	2.18	30.22	270.34	2448.04	1117.11	17958.53	
16	1389.63	21851.75		25.32	2.18	32.4	278.71	2726.75	1108.74	19067.27	
17	1389.63	23241.38		25.32	2.18	34.58	285.83	3012.58	1101.62	20168.89	
18	1389.63	24631.01		25.32	2.18	36.76	291.79	3304.38	1095.66	21264.55	
19	1389.63	26020.64		25.32	2.18	38.94	296.69	3601.07	1090.76	22355.31	
20	1389.63	27410.27		25.32	2.18	41.12	300.62	3901.69	1086.83	23442.14	
合 计	à 27410.27		25.32	2	41.12	2	3901.69		23442.14		

N. M. DOTTON & CO. M. LANSING MICH. MICH. MICH. 401 (1997)



Change of carbon sequestration produced in the Project



211711

Carbon sequestration monitoring



- Monitoring content and method
- Sampling design
- Monitoring of carbon storage change of the
- Project
- Emission and leakage monitoring of the Project
- Net carbon sequestration calculation of the
- Project
- Quality guarantee and control measures



Monitoring content and method



- Monitoring contents include:
- Project activity and boundary change
- Carbon storage change of the Project (key monitoring factor)
- GHG emission and leakage
- Baseline carbon storage change shall be finished in the measurement stage of the Project and does not need monitoring
- Consider the cost-effectiveness of measurement and monitoring, the monitoring of carbon storage change adopts set-samplebased continuous measurement method.

Sampling design



- 1 Layer division of the Project afterwards
- ② Determine the quantity of sample lands
- **3** Method of sample land setting
- **4** Monitoring frequency and time



1 Layer division of the Project afterwards



- Base on different afforestation model (afforestation approach, planting density, fertilizer application in unit area) to divid the project into 2 carbon layers afterwards
- Use precise finite difference GPS to determine the boundary of each carbon layer of the Project and calculate the area

No. of carbon layer of the Project afterwards	Species used for afforestatio n	Afforestation method	Mixed method	Initial density Tree/hm2	Farmyard manure Kg/hm2	Logging time	Area ha
PROJ-1	Moso bamboo	Scattered planting	Pure forest	600	6000	The 7 th year	15.4733
PROJ-2	Moso bamboo	Clustered planting	Pure forest	720	7200	The 7 th year	32.2467

② Determine the quantity of sample land

$$n = \frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{L} N_i \cdot st_i\right]^2}{\left(N \cdot \frac{E_1}{z_{\alpha/2}}\right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{L} N_i \cdot (st_i)^2} \cdot = 3.82$$

$$n_1 = \frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{L} N_i st_i\right]}{\left(N \cdot \frac{E_1}{z_{\alpha/2}}\right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{L} N_i \cdot (st_i)^2} \cdot N_1 \cdot st_1 = 1.24$$

$$n_2 = \frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{L} N_i st_i\right]}{\left(N \cdot \frac{E_1}{z_{\alpha/2}}\right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{L} N_i \cdot (st_i)^2} \cdot N_2 \cdot st_2 = 2.58$$



$$E_1 = Q_1 \cdot p = 7t.ha^{-1}$$

$$st_1 = st_2 = 7t.ha^{-1}$$

The quantity of sample land for Carbon layer PROJ-1 is set as 2, The quantity of sample land for Carbon layer PROJ-2 is set as 3

③ Setting method of sample land

- The set sample lands adopt systematic setting method with random starting point
- The monitored sample land is set as 0.06 ha, the shape is set as rectangular (30m×20m)
- The sample land locates at the south or the north, and is set with **precise** all-station meter.
- Record of sample land: the administrative location, are coordinate, afforestation species, model and time.





(4) Monitoring frequency and time



- Frequency: every 5 years
- Monitored carbon pool: on-ground biomass, underground biomass, organic soil carbon pool
- First monitoring: 2009
- Monitoring period: August-September each year



Monitoring of carbon storage change of the Project



- Adopt sample-land-based continuous measurement method
- Key factors:
- On-ground and underground biomass of forest (bamboo forest)
- Organic carbon of soil



Measurement of on-ground and underground biomass of forest (bamboo forest)



- Conduct actual sample land measurement, calculate the carbon storage in on-ground and underground biomass of forest by individual bamboo
- Calculate with dual biomass allometric growth formula
 First measure the height diameter and age of standing
 bamboo on the sample land one by one, then calculate the
 biomass of each standing bamboo with biomass allometric
 growth formula, then add them together to get the carbon
 storage in biomass in unit area of smaple land (t d.m.ha⁻¹)
- Calculation formula:



$$C_{AB_B,m,ijk,p} = \sum f_{AB_B,j}(BD, BA) \cdot CF_j \cdot 10000/AP$$

$$C_{BB_B,m,ijk,p} = \sum f_{BB_B,j}(BD, BA) \cdot CF_j \cdot 10000/AP$$

$$\vec{x} \quad C_{BB_B,m,ijk,p} = C_{AB_B,m,ijk,p} \cdot R_j$$

$$f_{AB_B,j}(BD, BA) \quad \text{Dual allometric growth formula for on-ground biomass of moso bamboo, t d.m.quantity^1}$$

$$GF_j \qquad \text{Average carbon content, no unit}$$

$$R_j \qquad \text{Ratio of biomass in root and stem, no unit}$$

$$AP \qquad \text{Area of sample land, m}^2$$

Record of Investigation on Sample Bamboo Forest Land



Sample land No.____ Shape : <u>Rectangular (north)</u>Size: <u>0.06hm2 (30m×20m)</u>

Standing Bamboo No.	Height diameter 0.1cm	Age	On-ground biomass kg	Underground-biomass kg	Remarks
1					
2					
3					
4					
•••					
	 Total (kg)				
Carbon stor bioma: land (t	rage in on-grou ss in unit area C.ha ⁻¹)	und of sample			
Carbon stor biomas land (t	rage in under-g ss in unit area <mark>C.ha^{.1})</mark>	ground of sample		PZP Republication and Automatication	

Measurement of organic carbon in soil



- Soil sampling and disposal
- •Calculation of organic carbon storage in unit area of soil on sample land

$$C_{SOC,m,ijk,p} = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left[SOCC_{m,ijk,p,l} \cdot BD_{m,ijk,p,l} \cdot (1 - F_{m,ijk,p,l}) \cdot Depth_{l} \right]$$

 $C_{SOC,m,ijk,p}$ Organic carbon storage in unit area of soil on sample land, t C.ha⁻¹

 $SOCC_{m,ijk,p,l}$ Organic carbon content in each soil layer, g C.(100g soil)⁻¹

 $BD_{m,ijk,p,l}$

 $F_{m,ijk,p,l}$

 $Depth_{l}$

Unit weight of soil in each layer, g.cm⁻³

Bulk percentage of stone with diameter above 2mm, root system and other dead organic residues, %

Depth of each soil layer, cm

Emission and leakage monitoring of the Project



- ✓ Direct N₂O emission caused by application of fertilizer
- CO₂ emission caused by combustion of fossil fuels
- ✓ CO₂ emission caused by forest fire
- GHG emission beyond the project boundary is mainly caused by transportation means:
- Fertilizer transportation
- Seedling and tree transportation
- Harvested bamboo transportation
- For above emission and leakage monitoring, the main works include design of all tables, arranging specific personnel to keep routine record and collect change data.

Calculation of net carbon sequestration of the Project



 $C_{\Pr{oj,t}} = \Delta C_{\Pr{oj,t}} - GHG_{E,t} - LK_t - \Delta C_{BSL,t}$

		sion of the proje ct ct Carb on stora ge chan ge of the proje		GHG age emis sion of the proje		stora ge chan ge	Basel ine carbo	Net n stora ge of the Proje ct				
	Year	Annual change tCO ₂ .a ⁻¹	Accumulative, tCO ₂	Annual emission, tCO ₂ -e.a ⁻¹	Accumulative, tCO ₂ -e	Annual emission, tCO ₂ -e.a ⁻¹	Accumulative, tCO ₂ -e	Annual change, tCO ₂ -e.a ⁻¹	Accumulative, tCO ₂ -e	Annual emission, tCO ₂ -e.a ⁻¹	Accumulative tCO ₂ - e	
	1											
	2											
	20											
7.000	Total											
	and the second					and the second		The Andrews			ilulus - ilulus	A MARTINE

Quality guarantee and control measures



To ensure the net carbon sequestration of the Project, especially the accuracy of measurement and monitoring of carbon storage change, the following quality guarantee and control measures shall be taken:

- ① Ensure reliable field measurement result
- ② Implement verification and correction for data measured in field investigation

Recheck of data input and analysis of abnormal data

Carefully file and manage all the data

 $(\mathbf{3})$

(4)



IV. Consideration of carbon sequestration measurement technology of moso bamboo forest




- Improve the precision of sample land setting and project boundary determination, consider to use all-station meter and real-time dynamic differential GPS technology (precision: cm) to replace traditional compass and handheld GPS.
- Work out and optimize the biomass, carbon storage growth forecast formula of moso bamboo forest.
- Develop carbon absorption measurement method and evaluation model for eco-system of moso bamboo forest.
- Base on the carbon sequestration measurement and monitoring (small scale and scope) to reinforce large scale and scope measurement and monitoring study.
 - Make fully use of RS and GIS technology
 - •Combine the continuous inventory system of forest resources

 Reinforce studies on carbon balance and conversion of bamboo products after harvesting and utilization of moso bamboos

Thank you!

