# Privatising sustainable forestry

### A review of global experiences

Natasha Landell-Mills Huáng Shan, China May, 2001



### Overview

- Background
- Key findings of global review
- Innovative approaches emerging
  - Forest certification
  - Markets for forest environmental services
  - [Company-community partnerships]
- IIED work in China



# 1. Background

### Rationale:

- Markets fail to account for social and environmental benefits of forests >> overexploitation and conversion
- Private sector increasingly dominant: from forest asset strippers to best practice enterprises
- Government capacity weak
- Civil society is a growing force

### "Policy is what organisations do"



- Civil and private organisations too not just government
- Processes of policy making and implementing – not just statements



### Aim

- To identify effective market and regulatory instruments for ensuring that the private sector produces social and environmental benefits from forest management; and
- to promote these instruments



# Main project components

- Brief overview of the issues (completed 1997)
- **Global review** of private sector participation in forestry (completed 1999)
- Thematic studies: certification, companycommunity partnerships, markets for forest environmental services
- Country studies: China, Brazil, PNG, India, South Africa



### 2. Key findings of global review

- Review conducted March-November 1998:
  - 142 responses from 76 countries
  - 23 countries selected for detailed investigation
- Private sector: large and small companies, community "enterprises", households and individuals



### Picture of widespread of reform

All countries implementing reforms to
 increase PSP

> forest management > use > ownership

- All countries introduced at least one marketbased instrument
  - incentives > promotion of markets for nontimber benefits > certification
- Just under 70% of countries restructured forest authority
  - > contracting out > privatisation >
    corporatisation



# 3. Innovative approaches

### Forest certification

- From an idea to routine in 10 years
- FSC 18 M + ha of which:
  - 84% in developed countries
  - 85% corporate/state industrial
  - 81% in natural forest
- PEFC c25+M ha soon Europe
- National schemes in 12 + 12 being developed



# Many questions exist

- Will it really tackle key forest problems?
- Who will be the winners and losers?
- IIED's concerns: how can certification:
  - meet the needs of community forestry?
  - improve forest product supply chains and responsible business?
  - contribute to SFM policy processes?

# Community forest enterprises

- Emerging benefits:
  - More scientifically rigorous forest management,
  - entry into international markets,
  - social institution building & improved links to policy processes
- Key constraints:
  - high costs & little increase in incomes,
  - lack of capacity,
  - inaccessible information/markets,
  - externally-driven & local land use systems not recognised
  - $\Rightarrow$  a regressive MBI?



# Supply chain

• Benefits: improved transparency, maintained/expanded markets, higher prices in niches (e.g. hardwoods)

• But...

- Temperate softwood products dominate 'safer' than tropical hardwoods?
- DIY retail sector dominates construction timber/paper left out
- ➢ price premium temporary
- ➢ lack of broad based customer demand



# **Contribution to SFM policy**

Uses being extended from market process to:

- Government market-based instrument: supporting government regulation
- Project certification verifying project success
- Improving forest policy stimulate discussion and awareness within policy-making community



#### FOUNDATIONS in place:

Property rights, market conditions, constitutional guarantees, engagement with extra-sectoral influences, recognition of lead forest institutions (in government, civil society and private sector) \*



# Markets for environmental services

#### Definitions & scope:

- "markets" interpreted broadly as payments in exchange for services: a buyer & seller
- four "environmental services" carbon sequestration, biodiversity conservation, watershed protection, landscape beauty
- "forests" range from semi-arid woodlands to moist evergreen formations



#### Attractions:

- cost-savings: costs transferred to private sector
- efficient: allocative and productive
- equitable: beneficiary pays

#### Feasibility:

- increased WTP with improved awareness of benefits
- Growing threats to supply
- Increased regulations that generate payments
- Improved capacity to measure supply



# Key questions

- What form do markets take?
- How do markets evolve?
- What are the costs?
- What are the benefits?
- How are costs and benefits distributed?

Service	Cases *	Funded **	Countries**
Carbon sequestration	73	61	Africa: Uganda, Burkina Faso & regional Asia: Australia, Malaysia, Indonesia Latin America: Argentina, Belize, Bolivia, Brazil, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Honduras, Mexico, Panama, Paraguay North America: Canada, USA Europe: Czech Republic, Poland, Russia, UK, Netherlands, Denmark
Biodiversity conservation	73	58	Africa: Cameroon, Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Nigeria, Uganda Asia: Australia, Bhutan, China, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Laos, Pakistan, Vietnam Latin America: Belize, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guyana, Jamaica, Mexico, Peru, Philippines, Suriname North America: Bahamas, USA Europe: The Netherlands, Russia, Switzerland

Watershed protection	60	38	Africa: Malawi Asia: Australia, China, India, Pakistan, Vietnam Latin America: Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, El Salvador North America: USA Europe: -
Landscape beauty	10	10	Africa: Rwanda, South Africa Asia: China, India, Indonesia, Nepal, New Zealand, Philippines Latin America: Chile, Costa Rica, Peru North America: - Europe: -

\* Includes descriptions of multiple initiatives within one country, as well as some regional or international initiatives.

\*\* Excludes feasibility studies and proposals, and global initiatives.



# Emerging findings

#### Form:

- Participants varied: state, private, NGOs, CBOs
- Competition: hard to determine due to price discovery and immature nature of markets
- Payment mechanisms diverse: bilateral transactions, intermediary-based to OTC, retail-based and exchange based trades
- Geographical scope broad: local to global



- Nested nature: markets are always nested in broader social & political institutional frameworks
- **Process**: iterative and gradual
- Costs & benefits: potentially significant net benefits
  - ⇒ BUT unequally distributed some gain more than others and poor tend not to be the first to benefit



# 4. IIED's work in China

#### Themes:

- forest resource tenure & evolving contract systems
- forestry charges and taxation
- company-community partnerships
- markets for environmental services
- certification

#### $\Rightarrow$ draft final report April 2001