



Illegal Deforestation for Forest Risk Commodities Dashboard: Tanzania

Drafted as of: October 2022

This dashboard was written by Cassie Dummett and Sofia Tenorio Fenton. Thank you to Kerstin Canby and Arthur Blundell of Forest Trends and Dr. Nike Doggart of the University of Leeds for providing feedback on this document.

SUMMARY OF RISKS

GOVERNANCE RISK SCORE: 67.95 (Higher risk)¹

CONFLICT STATE: NO²

While there are data broadly linking commodities to deforestation in Tanzania, it is harder to disaggregate by specific commodity. Roughly half of Tanzania's forests are protected. However, due to significant governance and enforcement challenges across both the forest and agricultural sectors, commodities that are not traceable to their source are at risk of being linked to illegal deforestation.

AGRICULTURE is the main driver of forest loss in Tanzania, mostly due to production of commodities consumed domestically, including maize, cattle products, sunflower seeds, vegetables, and groundnuts.

MAIZE CATTLE SUNFLOWER VEGETABLES GROUNDNUTS

EXPORT-ORIENTED CASH CROPS, particularly tobacco, as well as cashew, sesame, cotton, coffee, and sunflower seed are also associated with deforestation. These exports carry a risk of products linked to illegal deforestation entering regulated markets.

TOBACCO CASHEW COTTON COFFEE SUNFLOWER

COMMODITIES SOURCED FROM PROTECTED AREAS (PAs) have a high risk of illegality. Twenty-six percent of all forest loss occurred in PAs between 2001 and 2021, indicating inadequate levels of protection.


ANY FORESTED AREA OUTSIDE PAs AND WITHOUT A COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT PLAN is at risk of deforestation and forest degradation. A large portion of the forest land in the country (~ 50%) falls under village or general land.

DOMESTIC FOREST POLICY DOES NOT FOCUS ON AGRO-CONVERSION, although the national REDD+ strategy highlights the need to address illegal logging. Forest sector development plans have set ambitious targets for the expansion of tree plantations, which caused significant deforestation in the 2010s. The National Agriculture Policy incentivizes agricultural productivity, sometimes to the detriment of forests.


SUMMARY OF FOREST-RISK COMMODITIES

| FOREST RISK COMMODITIES (FRCs) | FRC-LINKED DEFORESTATION ^{a,3} | % OF PRODUCTION EXPORTED ^{b,4} |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Tobacco ^c | 2% | 78% |
| Maize | 17% | 2% |
| Cattle | 15% | <1% |
| Sunflower | 9% | 8% |
| Vegetables | 8% | 1% |
| Groundnuts | 7% | 2% |
| Sesame | 6% | 20% |
| Rice | 6% | 3% |
| Pulses | 5% | 15% |
| Beans | 4% | 4% |
| Cashew | 4% | 90% |
| Cotton | 2% | 22% |
| Coffee | 1% | 95% |
| Wheat | 1% | 100% |

TANZANIA'S COMMODITY-LINKED DEFORESTATION AS A PROPORTION OF COUNTRY TOTAL (%)^{d,5}



TANZANIA'S FRC EXPORTS AND PROPORTION OF COMMODITY-LINKED DEFORESTATION (%)^{e,6}



^a Percentages represent the commodity deforestation as a proportion of the country total. It refers to amortized deforestation as reported by Pendrill, et al. 2022. Amortized deforestation refers to amount of deforestation risk embodied in the production of associated commodities. Not all land use change result in production of commodities.

^b Percentage of production exported to international markets on the 2005-2020 period.

^c Based on Pendrill et al. data alone, tobacco would not be on the top ten commodities tied to deforestation in Tanzania (see Tanzania's commodity-linked deforestation as a proportion of total chart). However, additional research (see chapter below) shows that if along with cultivated area, the estimate accounts for associated forest clearing for fuel for the curing process, tobacco would then be the top high risk commodity in the country.

^d Data considers only Pendrill et al. 2022 values on amortized deforestation risk.

^e Data for 2005-2020 Sum Export Quantity in tonnes and % of commodity production being exported come from FAOSTAT (2022). % of 2005-2018 commodity deforestation as a proportion of country total considers Pendrill et al. (2022) data on amortized deforestation risk.

LAND-USE SECTOR

FORESTED AREA^f:

- **45.8 million hectares (Mha) of forest area** (10%) in 2020⁷
 - 45.1 Mha of naturally regenerating forest
 - 0.5 Mha of planted forest
- **0.7 Mha of primary forest** in 2021^{g,8,9}

GLOBAL RANKING FOR FOREST LOSS (10%)¹⁰:

- **21st** globally in forest loss in 2021
- **15th** in forest loss in the tropics in 2021

FOREST OWNERSHIP (2015)¹¹:

- **Village land**21.9 Mha
- **Central government land** ...16.6 Mha
- **Private ownership**3.5 Mha
- **Local government land**3.1 Mha
- **General land**2.7 Mha
- **Unknown**0.1 Mha

DEFORESTATION RATE & AREA^h:

- **1.03% annually** or 0.47 Mha/year (10%)¹²
- **1.9 Mha of primary forest** in 2021¹³
- **Net change of -7.9 Mha** of forest between 2000 and 2020¹⁴

TOTAL GROSS EMISSIONS FROM DEFORESTATION^{15,i}:

- **43.7 mega-tonnes (Mt) CO₂e** in 2021

DOMESTIC PRODUCTION IN TONNES, BY FRC, 2020¹⁶:

- **Maize**6.7 million
- **Rice, paddy**3.0 million
- **Sunflower seed**1.1 million
- **Sesame**710,000
- **Groundnuts**690,000
- **Cattle products^j**650,000
- **Cotton**302,000
- **Cashew**233,000
- **Tobacco**91,000
- **Coffee**61,000

RATE OF EXPANSION OF LAND FOR RELEVANT COMMODITIES IN PRODUCTION AREA, 2005–2020^{17,k}

| FRC | 2005 PRODUCTION AREA (HA) | 2020 PRODUCTION AREA (HA) | TOTAL INCREASE/DECREASE (HA) | PERCENT INCREASE/DECREASE |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Maize | 3,109,590 | 4,200,000 | 1,090,410 | 35% |
| Sesame | 156,250 | 960,000 | 803,750 | 514% |
| Sunflower | 340,000 | 1,030,000 | 690,000 | 203% |
| Cashew | 161,380 | 795,572 | 634,192 | 393% |
| Groundnuts | 409,320 | 1,000,000 | 590,680 | 144% |
| Rice | 701,990 | 1,038,343 | 336,353 | 48% |
| Tobacco | 39,253 | 60,782 | 21,529 | 55% |
| Pasture^l | 25,756 | 26,415 | 668 | 3% |
| Coffee | 235,700 | 219,857 | (15,843) | -7% |
| Cotton | 526,720 | 500,000 | (26,720) | -5% |

^f For the purpose of this dashboard, Forest Trends defines forest cover as an area with more than 10% tree canopy cover greater than five meters tall. The definition accounts for Tanzania's main forest type, Miombo, characterized by 10–40% tree canopy cover. The data comes from Tanzania's Forest Monitoring and Assessment (NAFORMA) reported to FAO, Global Forest Resources Assessment. NAFORMA is a ground-based forest inventory that may be more reliable at picking up small-scale forest loss than the Landsat analysis available through Global Forest Watch (Tyukavina et al., 2015). NAFORMA geographical scope covers Tanzania Mainland; it does not include the semi-autonomous islands of Zanzibar. The baseline canopy cover is depicted with 10% in parenthesis.

^g Primary forest is defined as "mature natural humid tropical forest cover that has not been completely cleared and regrown in recent history." (Turubanova et al., 2018).

^h Forest loss is defined as the complete removal of forest cover.

ⁱ This dashboard considers the amount of greenhouse gas emissions (expressed in megatonnes (Mt) of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions) reported in the Tanzania's Forest Reference Emission Level submission to the UNFCCC.

^j This accounts for three FAOSTAT-reported datapoints: edible offal of cattle, meat of cattle and raw hides.

^k This is based on the area harvested according to FAOSTAT (2023).

^l The area for cattle uses the FAOSTAT categories of "land under permanent meadows and pasture" and "land under temporary meadows and pasture."

RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH ILLEGAL FOREST CONVERSION

While there are data broadly linking commodities to deforestation in Tanzania, it is harder to disaggregate by specific commodity. Roughly half of Tanzania's forests are protected. However, due to governance and enforcement challenges across both the forest and agricultural sector, commodities that are not traceable to their source are at risk of being linked to illegal deforestation.

AGRICULTURE is the main driver of forest loss in Tanzania due to production of maize, cattle products, sunflower seeds, vegetables, and groundnuts, which are mostly consumed domestically.

Tanzania's forest area was reported to be 45.8 Mha in 2020 of which 45.1 Mha are naturally regenerating forest and 0.5Mha are planted forests.¹⁸ Only 8.4 Mha (18 percent) has greater than 50 percent cover.^{19,20} Most (75 percent) of Tanzania's forest is open woodland (10 to 40 percent cover), known as miombo and acacia savanna.²¹ Forest area (tree canopy cover greater than 10 percent) has been decreasing, with a seven percent reduction in forest cover reported between 2000 and 2021. Tanzania had a natural forest loss rate of 1.03 percent or about 0.47Mha/year.²²

Small-scale crop expansion has been identified as the main driver of forest loss in Tanzania.²³ A study by Doggart et al. (2020) revealed that small-scale agriculture took place in 89 percent of deforested areas (based on ground surveys of over a hundred randomly selected plots). The study found that most deforestation was caused by either a combination of crops and livestock for a mixture of cash and food (47 percent), or by cash only (12 percent) agriculture. Subsistence agriculture took place in only 30 percent of the plots.²⁴ The Global Forest Watch driver analysis broadly backs this up, with an estimate that 95 percent of forest loss in 2021 was driven by shifting agriculture, a term that includes small scale commercial farming as well as subsistence farming.^{m,25} Most agricultural outputs are produced by smallholders with an average farm size of 1.2 ha.²⁶

The land-use sector, including agriculture and forestry, accounts for 84 percent of Tanzania's total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.²⁷ The Government of Tanzania estimated emissions from forest loss to be 43.7Mt CO₂e/year between 2002 and 2013.²⁸

The REDD+ analysis differentiates drivers of deforestation and degradation by ecosystem. The main drivers in the miombo and acacia savanna forests, accounting for 80 percent of Tanzania's forested area, are agriculture and charcoal production.^{29,30} Most of the country's dry open-canopy forests are located in the south, in the regions of Morogoro, Lindi, Ruvuma, Tabora and Mbeya.³¹ Tanzania also has tropical high forest in the Eastern Arc Mountains and coastal forest on the East.³² In these two forests, illegal logging is a major driver of deforestation and degradation.³³ Between 2001 and 2021, Pwani (coast) had the most tree cover loss at 384kha compared to an average of 95.5kha, according to Global Forest Watch.^{34,35}

The majority of Tanzania's agricultural production is for the domestic market and, as highlighted previously, grown by smallholder farmers.³⁶ The main agricultural commodities tied to deforestation produced for the domestic market include:

| MAIZE PRODUCTION | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2020 TOTAL | 6.7 M tonnes (2020) |
| LINKED TO DEFORESTATION | 17% (2005-2018) |
| EXPORTED | 2% (2005-2020) |
| AREA INCREASE | 35% (2005-2020) |

MAIZE has the biggest deforestation footprint of all agricultural commodities produced in Tanzania between 2005 and 2018 and was tied to an average of over 20,000 ha/year of deforestation during this period. This accounts for 17 percent of deforestation from agricultural commodities.³⁷ However, since 2015, the rate of agro-conversion for maize halved each year and reached zero in 2017 and 2018.³⁸ Maize is Tanzania's most important crop, making up nearly 50 percent of rural household income.³⁹ The total extent of maize production was 4.2 Mha, representing 45 percent of total arable land in 2019.⁴⁰ Maize is grown by 88 percent of smallholders in Tanzania and 68 percent of smallholders generate income from its sale.⁴¹ Maize production in 2022 was double that of 2009, 6.71 M metric tonnes (mt) compared 3.3 M mt.⁴²

^mGFW recognizes that the accuracy of the drivers-algorithm varies regionally. In Africa only 31 percent of the area classified as commodity driven was accurate, mainly because the model misidentified 42 percent of the forest loss as a result of shifting agriculture, rather than correctly as a result of commercial agriculture (Curtis et al. 2018; see Table S6). The term shifting agriculture suggests that land is left fallow on a cyclical basis, but there is little evidence of this, and it is more likely to be small-scale agriculture (pers. comm. Doggart, N. 03.31.2023).

Doggart et al. (2020) identified maize cultivation in 57 percent of deforestation events, noting that nearly half (48 percent) of plots contained more than one type of crop. About one-third of deforested plots were being farmed for food only, while nearly half were being farmed for food and cash.⁴³ Tanzania produced a surplus of maize in the 2020–2021 season, exceeding demand by nearly one million tonnes.⁴⁴ In 2020, nearly 100,000 tonnes of maize worth about US\$25 million were exported, two-thirds of which went to Kenya.^{45,46}

| CATTLE PRODUCTION | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| TOTAL | 650,000 tonnes (2020) |
| LINKED TO DEFORESTATION | 15% (2005–2018) |
| EXPORTED | <1% (2005–2020) |
| PASTURE AREA INCREASE | 3% (2005–2020) |

BEEF AND LEATHER are linked to nearly 18,000 ha/year of deforestation between 2005 and 2018, representing 14 percent (beef) and one percent (leather) of commodity-linked deforestation.⁴⁷ Livestock grazing is associated with forest degradation as well as deforestation, particularly in the uplands of the northwest.^{48,49} Charcoal production often occurs with livestock in deforested plots.⁵⁰ From 2005 to 2020 cattle heads increased by 64 percent, from 17.7 to 29 million, indicating growing pressure for land use change.⁵¹ The conditions under which livestock grazing acts as a driver of deforestation versus forest degradation requires further research.⁵² All leather and almost all beef produced is for the domestic market, with US\$6 million in exports in 2020, all going to Kenya.⁵³

| SUNFLOWER SEED PRODUCTION | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| TOTAL | 1.1 M tonnes (2020) |
| LINKED TO DEFORESTATION | 9% (2005–2018) |
| EXPORTED | 8% (2005–2020) |
| AREA INCREASE | 203% (2005–2020) |

SUNFLOWER has the third largest deforestation footprint in Tanzania, linked to over 10,000 ha/year of deforestation between 2005 and 2018.⁵⁴ It grows on 0.96 Mha of land, of which 94 percent is farmed by smallholders.^{55,56} It is cultivated throughout the country by 15 percent of smallholders in Tanzania.^{57,58} It is important for the domestic production of edible oil, 90 percent of which is produced from sunflower seed. Annual production of edible oil in 2021 met less than half of Tanzania’s demand (300,000 out of 650,000 tonnes).⁵⁹ In 2021, the Minister of Agriculture shared the government’s aim to increase production of seed crops for edible oil production, including a target to increase production to one million tonnes by 2025.^{60,61} There is no mention of deforestation risks in reports or domestic news stories on scaling up sunflower production referenced in this dashboard.^{62,63,64}

| VEGETABLE PRODUCTION | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| TOTAL | 7.8 M tonnes (2020) |
| LINKED TO DEFORESTATION | 8% (2005–2018) |
| EXPORTED | <1% (2005–2020) |
| AREA INCREASE | 46% (2005–2020) |

VEGETABLES include sweet potato, potato, green peas, and onion, of which sweet potato carries the highest forest risk. Sweet potato production is linked to over 70,000 ha of deforestation between 2005 and 2018, while potatoes are linked to less than 20,000 ha.⁶⁵ Tanzania is one of Africa’s top producers of sweet potato, second only to Malawi in 2020.⁶⁶ The exported deforestation risk for these commodities is negligible.⁶⁷

| GROUNDNUT PRODUCTION | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| TOTAL | 690,000 tonnes (2020) |
| LINKED TO DEFORESTATION | 7% (2005–2018) |
| EXPORTED | 2% (2005–2020) |
| AREA INCREASE | 144% (2005–2020) |

GROUNDNUTS are linked to nearly 8,000 ha/year of deforestation.⁶⁸ Groundnut production extends over one million ha.⁶⁹ Most production (80 percent) is for domestic consumption.⁷⁰ The government’s aim to increase local production of edible oil includes a target to increase groundnut production from its current annual rate of 690,000 tonnes/year.^{71,72}

RICE expansion between 2005 and 2018 lost Tanzania nearly 7,000 ha/year of forest.⁷³ Production doubled from 2010 to 2020, exceeding three million tonnes. During the same period, the area under production remained almost the same, decreasing about 8 percent from 1.14Mha to 1.04Mha.⁷⁴ The National Rice Development Strategy (2019–2030) aims to further increase production (it currently meets only 55 percent of demand) and proposes further expansion using “untapped land and water resources.”⁷⁵ The strategy does not identify deforestation as a risk.

Rice is grown in the north, close to Lake Victoria, in the lowlands along the Eastern Arc (15 percent of production is in the Morogoro district), and in the delta region (8 percent of production is in Pwani Region).⁷⁶ Expanding agriculture, including rice growth, has been found to hinder the success of mangrove restoration projects. This has caused significant deforestation in the Rufiji Delta, one of the two largest mangrove forests in East Africa.^{77,78}

Most rice production is rainfed and sensitive to climate change, particularly drought and increases in temperature. Expected rise in temperatures could cause Tanzania’s rice production to decrease between five and nine percent by 2090.⁷⁹ This could lead to crop expansion and further deforestation to maintain domestic production.

The Sustainable Rice Platform (SRP) aims to transform the rice sector through initiatives such as their sustainable production standards, which prohibit agro-conversion of forest or any other natural ecosystem.⁸⁰ The SRP reported 25,000 projects in Tanzania, though the volume of certified rice is not reported.⁸¹

EXPORT-ORIENTED CASH CROPS, particularly tobacco, as well as cashew, sesame, cotton, coffee, and sunflower seed are also associated with deforestation. These exports carry a risk of products linked to illegal deforestation entering regulated markets.

Overall, the production of export-oriented crops accounts for more than 14 percent of the total deforestation footprint of Tanzania’s FRCs.⁸² Cashew, sesame, cotton, tobacco, and coffee are the top exports carrying forest risk.^{83,84}

| TOBACCO PRODUCTION | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|
| TOTAL | 91,000 tonnes (2020) |
| LINKED TO DEFORESTATION | 2% (2005–2018) |
| EXPORTED | 78% (2005–2020) |
| | TRADE PROFILE ▶ |
| AREA INCREASE | 55% (2005–2020) |

TOBACCO represented 78 percent of Tanzania’s 2005–2020 production. Tobacco production was linked to 1,800 ha/year of deforestation between 2005 and 2018 (attributing tree cover loss to crop expansion).⁸⁵ However, associated forest clearing for fuel for the curing processⁿ could drive those rates up to 27,000 ha/year (see below). One report estimates that one ha of woodland is required to cure one ha of planted tobacco.^{86,o} A tobacco company described the process as follows:

The normal pattern with land clearance is that tobacco is planted and harvested, and to dry that tobacco another area of land is cleared. The following year the tobacco will be planted on that cleared land, and another crop such as maize is planted on the old land. Then more trees must be harvested to cure that harvest. The year after that the farmer will grow tobacco on the first field. However, the farmer will still need

more wood to cure the tobacco, so each year they must remove some trees, even if it is not always a larger block. If they wish to expand their farm, they must clear land.'

Interview with anonymous tobacco company, 2013⁸⁷

Most tobacco is grown in the Miombo forest of south and west Tanzania, with roughly half produced in Tabora Region.^{88,89} A survey of tobacco farmers in Urambo district of Tabora region found that 95% of farmers obtained their fuelwood from forest reserves and other woodlands, while 5% harvested wood from planted forest.⁹⁰ The study reported an annual loss

ⁿ Fire curing is one of the four main methods used to cure or dry tobacco and it needs to be conducted right after the harvesting of the leaves from the field. The curing method used plays a major role in the final quality of the tobacco leaf.

^o This estimate is not explained or sourced. Acre & Caballero “TANZANIA Agricultural Sector Risk Assessment. Agriculture Global Practice Technical Assistance Paper.”

of 6,356 ha of tree cover in Urambo region, equivalent to 2% of forest cover.⁹¹ This is greater than an earlier estimate of 4,134 ± 390 ha in 2017.⁹² The higher estimate could indicate an annual clearance of 27,122 ha for the tobacco curing process in Tanzania in the 2018–2019 season.⁹³ If correct, this estimate would make tobacco the top high risk commodity in the country.

Tree planting programs have been active since the 1980s to reforest areas depleted by tobacco curing. Tobacco buyers supply seedlings to farmers, but failure rates are high and farmers have insufficient land for tree plantations.^{94,95} Fuel-efficient tobacco barns are encouraged (for example, the Tanzania Tobacco Board required all barns to be made energy-efficient by 2018), but farmers cannot afford to invest in these changes.⁹⁶ Alternative energy, such as agricultural waste or elephant grass, could replace wood and reduce carbon emissions, but is not yet used.⁹⁷

The area under tobacco production peaked in 2011 and 2012 at nearly 170,000 ha, in response to an increase in prices caused by a new tobacco purchaser entering the market.^{98,99} Production increased from below 30,000 tonnes in 2000 to over 90,000 tonnes in 2020.¹⁰⁰

Tobacco is primarily grown for the international market.¹⁰¹ The top importers of tobacco from Tanzania in 2021 were the EU and European Free Trade Association (EFTA) with 42 percent, followed by Russia (10 percent), and Republic of Korea (8 percent). Most tobacco was exported in raw form (98.5 percent). The total value of globally reported imports from Tanzania in 2021 was US\$164 million.^{102,p}

| CASHEW PRODUCTION | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| TOTAL | 233,000 tonnes (2020) |
| LINKED TO DEFORESTATION | 4% (2005–2018) |
| EXPORTED | 90% (2005–2020) |
| | TRADE PROFILE ▶ |
| AREA INCREASE | 393% (2005–2020) |

CASHEW production was responsible for 72,000 ha of deforestation between 2005 and 2018, and an average of 5,000ha/year.¹⁰³ Cashew exports averaged 125,000 tonnes/year, which is 90 percent of the country's production.^{104,105,106} Tanzania was the world's fourth biggest exporter of cashews in 2020 (after Cote d'Ivoire, Vietnam, and Ghana) and the sixth largest producer.¹⁰⁷ Cashew is grown mostly in the southern coastal areas including the Mtwara, Ruvuma, Pwani, and Lindi regions.¹⁰⁸ The Cashewnut Board purchases the harvest from farmer cooperatives and markets the crop. In 2020, 57 percent of globally reported imports of Tanzania's cashew products^a (by net weight) went to India, and about 41 percent to Vietnam, where they were processed.^{109,110} The total value of global imports in 2020 was US\$388.9 million.¹¹¹

| SESAME PRODUCTION | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| TOTAL | 710,000 tonnes (2020) |
| LINKED TO DEFORESTATION | 6% (2005–2018) |
| EXPORTED | 20% (2005–2020) |
| | TRADE PROFILE ▶ |
| AREA INCREASE | 514% (2005–2020) |

SESAME production was linked to over 7,000 ha/year of deforestation between 2005 and 2018.^{112,113} Tanzania is Africa's largest producer of sesame, and one of its largest exporters: 710,000 tonnes were produced in 2020, of which one-quarter (168,000 tonnes) was exported.^{114,115} Sesame is mostly grown in the Lindi and Mtwara regions by smallholder farmers. The area under sesame production more than quadrupled from 2010 to 2020 from 0.16 to 0.96 Mha.¹¹⁶ Rising global demand and increasing sale prices drove farmers to increase production.¹¹⁷ A survey of sesame farmers found that over half (52 percent) cleared new land in the previous season, and most only use the land for two or three seasons before it becomes unproductive.¹¹⁸ Doggart et al. (2020) identified that 20 percent of deforestation sites were used for sesame production.¹¹⁹

In 2021, the main markets for sesame products (sesame oil, sesame seed cake, and sesame seeds) were China (83 percent) and Japan (13 percent).¹²⁰ Only 2 percent of exports went to the Netherlands. As a Lesser Developed Country (LDC), Tanzania exports export duty-free and quota-free to the EU market.¹²¹

^p UN Comtrade globally reported imports (by net weight) from Tanzania for 2021.

^a Cashew products include cashew nuts shelled, in shell and not elsewhere specified. See Trade Profile section.

| COTTON PRODUCTION | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| TOTAL | 302,000 tonnes (2020) |
| LINKED TO DEFORESTATION | 2% (2005–2018) |
| EXPORTED | 22% (2005–2020) |
| | TRADE PROFILE ▶ |
| AREA DECREASE | -5% (2005–2020) |

COTTON production was tied to 2,500 ha/year of deforestation (2005–2018).¹²² One-fifth (22 percent) of forest risk cotton produced in Tanzania is exported.¹²³ In 2020, half a million ha were under cotton production, 20 percent more than 2010. Cotton production has varied widely, dropping in the late 1990s and peaking in 2008. The variation has been influenced by price, exchange rate, and the shift towards a competitive market away from the government-controlled Cotton Board.^{124,125} Cotton is grown in the northwest near Lake Victoria, by small scale farmers with an average farm size of 1.5 ha.¹²⁶ It is rainfed and yields an average of 300 kg/acre.¹²⁷

In 2021, global imports of Tanzania’s cotton products^r were worth US\$182.3 million and, including imported net weight, the biggest markets were Pakistan (50.7 percent) followed by Kenya and China (22 percent and 13 percent, respectively).¹²⁸

| COFFEE PRODUCTION | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| TOTAL | 610,000 tonnes (2020) |
| LINKED TO DEFORESTATION | 1% (2005–2018) |
| EXPORTED | 95% (2005–2020) |
| | TRADE PROFILE ▶ |
| AREA DECREASE | -7% (2005–2020) |

COFFEE production was tied to an average of 900 ha/year of deforestation. This represents two percent of global forest-risk coffee between 2005 and 2018.¹²⁹ Tanzania’s deforestation from coffee peaked in 2005 with a high of over 1,700 ha/year.¹³⁰ This high corresponds with an increase in the area of coffee production, which grew from 100,000 ha in the 1990s to nearly 250,000 ha in 2009.¹³¹ However, the area under production declined by 7% from 2005 to 2020 and in 2020 dropped lower than it had been since 1975, influenced by factors including poor agricultural practices, lack of agricultural extension, aging trees, lack of access to credit, and exposure to volatile market prices.^{132,133,134}

Tanzania produces both arabica (70 percent) and robusta tree varieties, with the former grown in Arusha, Kilimanjaro, Mbeya, and Ruvuma, while robusta is mainly from the Kagera region.^{135,136} Smallholders with an average of 0.5–1 ha

of land are responsible for 90 percent of coffee production.¹³⁷ The Tanzania Coffee Board (TCB) is aiming to increase production to more than 300,000 tonnes a year by improving agricultural practices and distributing improved coffee seedlings.¹³⁸

Almost all (91 percent) coffee linked with deforestation risk in Tanzania is exported.¹³⁹ The domestic market for coffee in Tanzania is not developed, though the TCB is promoting coffee as a drink in urban areas and local consumption was reported to have increased to seven percent of production in 2021.¹⁴⁰ Tanzania’s globally reported coffee imports were worth US\$179.3 million in 2021 and, by net weight, the top five markets were the EU and EFTA (52 percent), Japan (19 percent), Morocco (9 percent), Rwanda (4 percent), and the United States (3 percent).¹⁴¹ Tanzanian peaberries^s are particularly sought after in Japan and the US.¹⁴²

Climate change is predicted to reduce the global area suitable for coffee by 50 percent, with substantial loss of production in Brazil and Vietnam, leading to an increase in demand for coffee from East Africa.¹⁴³ According to Bunn et al. (2015), East Africa will be least impacted, and new areas which are “currently not forested” will become more suitable. In this climate scenario, future coffee expansion in Tanzania could be relatively deforestation-free, in contrast with the new areas identified as potentially suitable in Asia which are currently partially forested.¹⁴⁴

^r Cotton products include cotton lint, cottonseed, cottonseed cake, cotton waste, cotton carded or combed, cotton linter and cottonseed oil. See Trade Profile section.

^s Peaberry is a type of coffee bean where only one of the two seeds or beans normally contained within a cherry (coffee fruit) develops.

THERE IS A HIGH RISK OF ILLEGALITY IN COMMODITIES SOURCED FROM PROTECTED AREAS. Twenty-six percent of all forest loss occurred in Protected Areas between 2002 and 2021, indicating their levels of protection are inadequate.

Agricultural commodities sourced from Protected Areas (PAs)[†] carry a high risk of illegal deforestation. In 2020, Tanzania had roughly half of all forested land categorized under the broad umbrella of PAs, (FAO reported 62 percent, while the government of Tanzania reported 41 percent).^{145,146,147} Despite the protected status, forest loss in PAs still amounted to 1.2 Mha between 2001 and 2021, representing 26 percent of all forest loss.¹⁴⁸ This indicates that Tanzania's levels of protection are inadequate. The lack of financial resources for forest patrols is the suggested reason for increased forest conversion in PAs.¹⁴⁹ In forest reserves, some identified causes of poor protection leading to deforestation are inadequate resourcing, the small size and wide distribution of PAs, and their proximity to areas of high population density.¹⁵⁰ Agro-conversion of forest is illegal in PAs, while in unreserved forested land is not. However, because of inadequate protection, forest loss still occurs in forest reserves, mostly (75 percent) due to agro-conversion.¹⁵¹ However, further research is required to identify which crops are grown on illegally deforested land. A random sample of deforestation plots in all types of forest identified that the most grown crops were maize (57%) and sesame (20%), with many plots containing more than one crop.¹⁵²

Tanzania's 866 PAs include 678 forest reserves, managed through the National Forest Policy and Forest Act.^{153,154} There is extensive evidence of encroachment on forest reserves, mainly for agriculture.^{155,156} For example, mangrove areas categorized as forest reserves are being cleared for firewood and rice production despite government strategies like burning farmers' huts and rice farms to discourage deforestation. Joint Forest Management[‡] is reported to be a more successful strategy for restricting the use of forest for extractive activities and sharing benefits between the state and communities.^{157,158}

The Tanzania Forest Service (TFS) sets annual targets for revenue collection that increase year on year. Most revenue comes from charcoal and timber permit fees that are issued without harvesting or management plans, leading to the conclusion that "the system is neither ecologically nor financially sustainable."¹⁵⁹ Furthermore, an analysis of TFS revenue reveals that fines make up about one percent, implying that royalties are being paid after produce is harvested.¹⁶⁰ If it is a government reserve, 60 percent of TFS revenue returns to the Forest Service (but not specifically to the reserve where the produce was harvested) while 40 percent goes to the treasury. In the case of unreserved village forests[‡], none of the revenue is reinvested back in the forest, exacerbating degradation and deforestation.¹⁶¹

PAs in Tanzania also include National Parks, managed by the National Parks Act and game reserves, managed through the Wildlife Act.¹⁶² The country has 22 National Parks, managed for nature conservation by Tanzania National Parks (TANAPA), which include an estimated total area of 10.5 Mha.¹⁶³ National Parks are better resourced and are patrolled to protect against illegal logging and encroachments. However, the use of fire to suppress forest growth can lead to higher forest loss than in unprotected areas (conversion to grassland is more common than conversion to agriculture).¹⁶⁴ Forest law enforcement, wildlife conservation, and REDD+ are associated with a track record of forced evictions and associated human rights abuses in these parks.^{165,166,167}

[†] PAs include national parks, marine parks and reserves, species protected areas, game reserves, game controlled areas, the Ngorongoro Conservation Area and wildlife management areas (CIFOR, 2015).

[‡] Participatory forest management strategy in forest reserves (Akida & Blomley, 2006).

[‡] With villagization in the 1970s, the Land Act of 1999 and the Village Land Act of 1999, state-owned forests were allocated to villages as village forest reserves. Forest-use rights and tree tenure rights were also granted to village institutions to incentivize sustainable management.

ANY FORESTED AREA OUTSIDE PAs AND WITHOUT A COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT PLAN is at risk of deforestation and forest degradation. A large portion of the forest land in the country (~ 50 percent) falls under village or general land.

The Forest Act allows Community Based Forest Management (CBFM) in forests on village lands and requires a management plan.^{168,169,170}

Tanzania is one of the few countries in the world to have increased the area of land owned by communities.¹⁷¹ Communities own all 21.9Mha of village land or 45.7 percent of forest.¹⁷² However, the CBFM sector policy tool has received minimal support, and as of 2020, less than 10 percent of village forest land had a community-based management plan.¹⁷³

Deforestation is at its highest on general lands under public ownership (5.7 percent of forest) without a clear management plan.¹⁷⁴ The forest on village and general lands together account for roughly half of Tanzania's forest, and a lack of clearly planned, long-term management leads to severe deforestation and degradation.^{175,176}

Although illegal harvesting activities happen under all management regimes, a comparative study that CBFM performed better than ordinary state management.¹⁷⁷ A study identified community-governed protected areas as the most effective form of protected area in terms of biomass density and forest structure, sometimes outperforming those managed by international organizations.¹⁷⁸

DOMESTIC FOREST POLICY DOES NOT FOCUS ON AGRO-CONVERSION, although the national REDD+ strategy highlights the need to address illegal logging. In fact, forest sector development plans have set ambitious targets for the expansion of tree plantations which has caused significant deforestation in the 2010s. The National Agriculture Policy incentivizes agricultural productivity, sometimes to the detriment of forests.

Smallholder plantation expansion is seen as the solution to forest degradation due to its potential to also alleviate domestic needs for charcoal and fuelwood in the face of years of reduced production from government plantations. The Private Forestry Programme and the Forestry Development Trust further incentivized this expansion by creating a program supporting smallholder plantations.^{179,180,181} Smallholder plantations now account for 64 percent of the Southern Highlands' 210,000–250,000 ha of plantations.¹⁸²

Only forest in protected areas is covered by government prohibitions on agro-conversion. Though inadequate, these protected forest has higher biomass density, tree height and canopy cover than unprotected forest.¹⁸³ For all the rest, there is no clear policy to reduce the conversion of forest to agricultural land.¹⁸⁴ In unreserved village land, the protection of forest on potential agricultural land is left to the local-level forest management plans.^{185,186} Unreserved village forest is particularly at risk.¹⁸⁷ In fact, the National Agriculture Policy aims to increase crop production and claims that less than a quarter of suitable land is under cultivation.¹⁸⁸ The government's draft revised National Land Policy (2018) states that Tanzania has "plenty of unused or unoccupied village land" and calls for investment in commercial agriculture.¹⁸⁹ All villages are required to demarcate land for investment in their Village Land Use Plan. "Less valuable" land, such as woodland and bushland, can be identified as land for investment.¹⁹⁰ Only around 13 percent of villages have completed land use plans, but even these do not protect villagers from dispossession.¹⁹¹ Village land can be reallocated as general land in the name of public interest, and there are documented cases of Village Land-Use plans being modified in violation of the Land Use planning act, without consultation or consent to allocate land to investors.¹⁹² Overall, the goal of intensifying sustainable agricultural production is "divorced from realities" because of political power and patronage, government bureaucracy, and resource constraints.¹⁹³


In conclusion, forest risk commodities produced in protected areas carry a high risk of illegality, but illegality is a risk in all commodities and very difficult to identify in the supply chain due to poor traceability to source and lack of land boundary or management data. Rather than focus on removing illegal deforestation from the supply chain, deforestation free supply chains are recommended, if Tanzania's forests are to be protected.

TRADE PROFILE


The figures below show the trade trends for the five main FRCs traded with international markets: cashew, tobacco, coffee, sesame, and cotton.

OVERVIEW


GLOBAL IMPORTS OF THE TOP FIVE FRC PRODUCTS FROM TANZANIA (2005-2021)¹⁹⁴



BY TRADE VALUE (US\$) AND NET WEIGHT (KG)¹⁹⁵




BY EXPORT MARKET¹⁹⁶




CASHEW

GLOBAL CASHEW PRODUCT IMPORTS FROM TANZANIA (2005-2021)¹⁹⁷




BY EXPORT MARKET¹⁹⁸




*Not elsewhere specified

TOBACCO

GLOBAL TOBACCO PRODUCT IMPORTS FROM TANZANIA (2005-2021)¹⁹⁹




BY EXPORT MARKET²⁰⁰




COFFEE

GLOBAL COFFEE IMPORTS FROM TANZANIA, BY EXPORT MARKET (2005-2021)²⁰¹




COTTON

GLOBAL COTTON PRODUCT IMPORTS FROM TANZANIA (2005-2021)²⁰²




BY EXPORT MARKET²⁰³




SESAME

GLOBAL SESAME PRODUCT IMPORTS FROM TANZANIA (2005-2021)²⁰⁴



BY EXPORT MARKET²⁰⁵



A list of relevant reports and additional online tools to complement this country report is available at:
<https://www.forest-trends.org/fptf-idat-home/>

KEY READING

- Doggart, Nike, Theron Morgan-Brown, Emmanuel Lyimo, Boniface Mbilinyi, Charles K. Meshack, Susannah M. Sallu, and Dominick V. Spracklen. 2020. **"Agriculture is the main driver of deforestation in Tanzania."** Environmental Research Letters 15, no. 3: 034028. <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/ab6b35/meta>
- Mangora, M.M. 2018. **"Tobacco Takes its Toll in the Miombo Woodlands."** Unfair Tobacco. https://www.unfairtobacco.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/Mangora_Unfairtobacco_Tanzania_deforestation.pdf
- URT, Ministry of Natural Resources & Tourism (MNRT). 2015. **"NAFORMA (National Forest Resources Monitoring and Assessment of Tanzania Mainland), Main Results."** Tanzania Forest Services, Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. Accessed November 2022. https://www.tfs.go.tz/uploads/NAFORMA_REPORT.pdf

WORKS CITED

- ¹ The overall country governance risk scores reflect Forest Trends' 2021 updated assessment of national-level independent political, governance, business, economic and corruption indices which draw on a broad range of relevant underlying data from the World Bank, African Development Bank, Asian Development Bank, Inter-American Development Bank, International Fund for Agricultural Development's programming criteria, United Nations and governmental aggregated data, as well as independent surveys and other primary data to provide an average relative governance and corruption risk score for 211 countries globally. Countries scoring less than 25 are considered "Lower-Risk," countries scoring between 25 and 50 are "Medium-Risk" and countries scoring above 50 are "Higher-Risk." The risk scores can only give an indication of the likely level of illegal deforestation in a country and ultimately speaks to the risk that corruption and poor governance undermines rule of law in the land sector. A full methodology is available on the ILAT Risk website: <https://www.forest-trends.org/fptf-ilat-home/>
- ² World Bank Group's Fragile, Conflict and Violence Group. 2022. "Harmonized List of Fragile Situations." World Bank Group's Fragile, Conflict and Violence Group. Accessed March 24, 2022.
- ³ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005-2018."
- ⁴ Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).2022. "FAOSTAT." FAO. Accessed November 2022. <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data>
- ⁵ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005-2018."
- ⁶ FAO, "FAOSTAT"; Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005-2018."
- ⁷ FAO. 2020. "Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020, United Republic of Tanzania." Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Accessed March 24, 2022. <https://www.fao.org/3/cb0085en/cb0085en.pdf>
- ⁸ Global Forest Watch (GFW).2022. "Tanzania Dashboard". Accessed in November 2022. <https://gfw.global/3F3mvhJ>
- ⁹ Turubanova, S., Potapov, P.V., Tyukavina, A. and Hansen, M.C., 2018. "Ongoing primary forest loss in Brazil, Democratic Republic of the Congo, and Indonesia." *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(7), p.074028. <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/aacd1c/meta>
- ¹⁰ GFW, "Tanzania Dashboard"
- ¹¹ URT, Ministry of Natural Resources & Tourism (MNRT). 2015. "NAFORMA (National Forest Resources Monitoring and Assessment of Tanzania Mainland), Main Results." Tanzania Forest Services, Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. Accessed November 2022. https://www.tfs.go.tz/uploads/NAFORMA_REPORT.pdf
- ¹² FAO. 2020. "Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020, United Republic of Tanzania." Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Accessed March 24, 2022 <https://www.fao.org/3/cb0085en/cb0085en.pdf>
- ¹³ GFW, "Tanzania Dashboard"
- ¹⁴ FAO, "Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020, United Republic of Tanzania."
- ¹⁵ The United Republic of Tanzania (URT). 2017. "Tanzania's Forest Reference Emission Level (FREL) Submission to the UNFCCC." URT, November 2017. https://redd.unfccc.int/files/2017_submission_frel_tanzania.pdf
- ¹⁶ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ¹⁷ Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).2023. "FAOSTAT." FAO. Accessed February 2023. <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data>
- ¹⁸ FAO, "Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020, United Republic of Tanzania."
- ¹⁹ GFW, "Tanzania Dashboard"

- ²⁰ FAO. 2020. "Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020." Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Accessed March 24, 2022. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/ca9825en>
- ²¹ FAO, "Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020, United Republic of Tanzania."
- ²² FAO, "Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020, United Republic of Tanzania."
- ²³ De Sy, Veronique, Martin Herold, Frederic Achard, Valerio Avitabile, Alessandro Baccini, Sarah Carter, Jan GPW Clevers, Erik Lindquist, Maria Pereira, and Louis Verchot. 2019. "Tropical deforestation drivers and associated carbon emission factors derived from remote sensing data." *Environmental Research Letters* 14, no. 9: 094022.
- ²⁴ Doggart, Nike, Theron Morgan-Brown, Emmanuel Lyimo, Boniface Mbilinyi, Charles K. Meshack, Susannah M. Sallu, and Dominick V. Spracklen. 2020. "Agriculture is the main driver of deforestation in Tanzania." *Environmental Research Letters* 15, no. 3: 034028.
- ²⁵ GFW, "Tanzania Dashboard"
- ²⁶ FAO. 2018. "Family Farming Knowledge Platform, Smallholders data portrait." FAO. www.fao.org/family-farming/data-sources/dataportrait/farm-size/en
- ²⁷ Climate Watch. 2022. "Historical GHG Emissions – Tanzania." Climate Watch. Accessed November 2022. https://www.climatewatchdata.org/ghg-emissions?end_year=2019®ions=TZA§ors=total-including-lucf&start_year=1990
- ²⁸ URT, "Tanzania's Forest Reference Emission Level (FREL) Submission to the UNFCCC."
- ²⁹ Kweka, Demetrius, Carmenta, Rachel, Hyle, Maija, Mustalahti, Irmeli, Dokken, Therese, Brockhaus, Maria. 2015. "The context of REDD+ in Tanzania Drivers, agents and institutions" CIFOR Occasional Paper 133.
- ³⁰ URT, "NAFORMA, Main Results." https://www.tfs.go.tz/uploads/NAFORMA_REPORT.pdf
- ³¹ GFW, "Tanzania Dashboard."
- ³² MNRT, "NAFORMA Main Results."
- ³³ Kweka et al. "The context of REDD+ in Tanzania Drivers, agents and institutions"
- ³⁴ Global Forest Watch. "Tanzania Dashboard- Location of tree cover loss in Tanzania". Accessed on 30/03/2023 from www.globalforestwatch.org.
- ³⁵ FAO, "Family Farming Knowledge Platform, Smallholders data portrait."
- ³⁶ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ³⁷ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ³⁸ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ³⁹ Baijukya, Frederick P., L. Sabula, S. Mruma, F. Mzee, E. Mtoka, J. Masigo, A. Ndunguru, and E. Swai. 2020. "Maize production manual for smallholder farmers in Tanzania." USAID. <https://biblio.iita.org/documents/U20ManBaijukyaMaizeNothomDev.pdf-3f35d5868118011f5184c20202bda6e9.pdf>
- ⁴⁰ Baijukya et al. "Maize production manual for smallholder farmers in Tanzania."
- ⁴¹ CGAP. 2018. "CGAP Smallholder Families Data Hub." CGAP. Accessed November 2022.
- ⁴² Tridge. 2022. "Product – Maize (Corn), Tanzania." Tridge. Accessed November 2022. <https://www.tridge.com/intelligences/corn/TZ>
- ⁴³ Doggart et al., "Agriculture is the main driver of deforestation in Tanzania."
- ⁴⁴ The Citizen 2021 <https://thecitizen.co.tz/tanzania/news/national/tz-60-six-decades-of-seeking-food-self-sufficiency-in-tanzania-3638800>
- ⁴⁵ OEC. 2022. "Tanzania Profile." OEC. Accessed November 2022.
- ⁴⁶ FAO, "FAOSTAT."

- ⁴⁷ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ⁴⁸ Kweka et al. "The context of REDD+ in Tanzania Drivers, agents and institutions"
- ⁴⁹ MNRT, "NAFORMA Main Results."
- ⁵⁰ Mwampamba TH, Loes N, van Schaik MB and Castillo-Hernandez LA. 2018. "Incorporating ecohydrological processes into an analysis of charcoal-livestock production systems in the Tropics: an alternative interpretation of the water-energy-food Nexus." *Frontiers Environ. Sci.*
- ⁵¹ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ⁵² Mwampamba et al., "Incorporating ecohydrological processes into an analysis of charcoal-livestock production systems in the Tropics."
- ⁵³ OEC, "Tanzania Profile."
- ⁵⁴ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ⁵⁵ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ⁵⁶ NBS 2021 National Sample Census of Agricultural 2019/20, released by the National Bureau of Statistics Office (NBS) and the ministry of Agriculture in August 2021
- ⁵⁷ Zhihua Zeng, Douglas. 2017. "The Sunflower Sector in Tanzania: A Great Potential for Industrial Competitiveness." Sectoral Policy Study, The World Bank Group. Accessed November 2022. <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/440791495705620987/pdf/115259-WP-TanzaniaSunflowerSector-PUBLIC.pdf>
- ⁵⁸ CGAP, "CGAP Smallholder Families Data Hub."
- ⁵⁹ Juma, Mussa. 2022. "Tanzania targets ending shortage of edible oil and reliance on imports." *The Citizen*, July 09, 2022. Accessed November 2022. <https://www.thecitizen.co.tz/tanzania/news/national/tanzania-targets-ending-shortage-of-edible-oil-and-reliance-on-imports-3874438>
- ⁶⁰ Kalumbia Louis. "Tanzania government to invest hugely in cooking oil crops." *The Citizen*, May 11, 2021. Accessed November 2022. <https://www.thecitizen.co.tz/tanzania/news/business/tanzania-government-to-invest-hugely-in-cooking-oil-crops-3396472>
- ⁶¹ Mussa, "Tanzania targets ending shortage of edible oil and reliance on imports."
- ⁶² Zhihua Zeng, "The Sunflower Sector in Tanzania."
- ⁶³ Kalumbia, "Tanzania government to invest hugely in cooking oil crops."
- ⁶⁴ Mussa, "Tanzania targets ending shortage of edible oil and reliance on imports."
- ⁶⁵ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ⁶⁶ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ⁶⁷ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ⁶⁸ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ⁶⁹ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ⁷⁰ Sanawa, Florence. 2021. "This is how Tanzania can meet edible oil challenges." *The Citizen*, September 03, 2022. Accessed November, 2022. <https://www.thecitizen.co.tz/tanzania/news/business/this-is-how-tanzania-can-meet-edible-oil-challenges--3935834>
- ⁷¹ Sanawa, "This is how Tanzania can meet edible oil challenges."
- ⁷² FAO, "FAOSTAT."

- ⁷³ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ⁷⁴ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ⁷⁵ URT, Ministry of Agriculture. 2019. "National Rice Development Strategy, Phase II." URT. Accessed November 2022. <https://www.kilimo.go.tz/index.php/en/resources/view/national-rice-development-strategy-phase-ii>
- ⁷⁶ International Food Policy Research Institute, IFPRI. 2020. "Spatially Disaggregated Crop Production Statistics Data in Africa South of the Sahara for 2017." <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/FSSKBW>. Harvard Dataverse, V2. <https://www.ifpri.org/publication/spatially-disaggregated-crop-production-statistics-data-africa-south-sahara-2017>
- ⁷⁷ Mshale, B., Senga, M., & Mwangi, E. (2017). Governing mangroves: Unique challenges for managing Tanzania's coastal forests. Bogor, Indonesia: CIFOR; Washington, DC: USAID Tenure and Global Climate Change Program <https://www.cifor.org/knowledge/publication/6596/>
- ⁷⁸ Atabong 2022 <https://news.mongabay.com/2022/05/poor-planning-persistent-farming-undermine-mangrove-restoration-in-tanzania>
- ⁷⁹ Adhikari, U., Nejadhashemi, A. P., & Woznicki, S. A. (2015). Climate change and Eastern Africa: A review of impact on major crops. *Food and Energy Security*, 4(2), 110–132. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fes3.61>
- ⁸⁰ Sustainable Rice Platform, SRP. 2020. "The SRP Standard for Sustainable Rice Cultivation (Version 2.1)." Sustainable Rice Platform Bangkok: 2020. Accessed November 2022. <https://www.preferredbynature.org/library/standard/srp-standard-sustainable-rice-cultivation-version-21>
- ⁸¹ SRP, "The SRP Standard for Sustainable Rice Cultivation (Version 2.1)."
- ⁸² Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ⁸³ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ⁸⁴ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ⁸⁵ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ⁸⁶ Acre, C.E., J. Caballero 2015. "Tanzania Agricultural Sector Risk Assessment." Agriculture Global Practice Technical Assistance Paper. World Bank Group Report Number 94883-TZ. Washington D.C.: World Bank. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/22277/Tanzania000Agr0ctor0risk0assessment.pdf?sequence=5&isAllowed=y>
- ⁸⁷ Jew, E. K., Dougill, A. J., & Sallu, S. M. 2017. "Tobacco cultivation as a driver of land use change and degradation in the miombo woodlands of southwest Tanzania." *Land Degradation and Development*, 28(8), 2636–2645. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2827>
- ⁸⁸ Acre & Caballero, "Tanzania Agricultural Sector Risk Assessment."
- ⁸⁹ Ndomba, H.H. 2018. "A History of Peasant Tobacco Production in Ruvuma Region, Southern Tanzania." c. 1930–2016. PhD Dissertation. Stellenbosch: Stellenbosch University. <http://scholar.sun.ac.za/handle/10019.1/103264>
- ⁹⁰ Katatwile, D., 2021. "Impact of tobacco curing on the environment and socio-economic aspects in the Urambo District." Doctoral dissertation, NM-AIST.
- ⁹¹ Katatwile, "Impact of tobacco curing on the environment and socio-economic aspects in the Urambo District."
- ⁹² Jew et al., "Tobacco cultivation as a driver of land use change and degradation in the miombo woodlands of southwest Tanzania."
- ⁹³ Katatwile, "Impact of tobacco curing on the environment and socio-economic aspects in the Urambo District."
- ⁹⁴ Ndomba, "A History of Peasant Tobacco Production in Ruvuma Region, Southern Tanzania."
- ⁹⁵ Katatwile, "Impact of tobacco curing on the environment and socio-economic aspects in the Urambo District."

- ⁹⁶ Mangora, M.M. 2018. "Tobacco Takes its Toll in the Miombo Woodlands." Unfair Tobacco. https://www.unfairtobacco.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/Mangora_Unfairtobacco_Tanzania_deforestation.pdf
- ⁹⁷ Ndomba, "A History of Peasant Tobacco Production in Ruvuma Region, Southern Tanzania."
- ⁹⁸ Acre & Caballero, "Tanzania Agricultural Sector Risk Assessment."
- ⁹⁹ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ¹⁰⁰ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ¹⁰¹ Mangora, "Tobacco Takes its Toll in the Miombo Woodlands."
- ¹⁰² UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."
- ¹⁰³ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ¹⁰⁴ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ¹⁰⁵ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ¹⁰⁶ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ¹⁰⁷ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ¹⁰⁸ Cashewnut Board of Tanzania. n.d. "The Cashewnut Board of Tanzania website." Accessed November 2022. <https://www.cashew.go.tz/>
- ¹⁰⁹ Madenge. 2021. "Critical insight: Tanzania cashew nuts production." The United Republic of Tanzania, August 6, 2021. Accessed November 2022. <https://unitedrepublicoftanzania.com/economy-of-tanzania/agriculture-in-tanzania/cash-crops-in-tanzania-commercial-money/critical-insight-tanzania-cashew-nuts-production/>
- ¹¹⁰ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."
- ¹¹¹ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."
- ¹¹² FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ¹¹³ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ¹¹⁴ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ¹¹⁵ Knoema. 2022. "The sesame exports of the United Republic of Tanzania." Knoema. Accessed November 2022. <https://knoema.com/data/united-republic-of-tanzania+foreign-trade-exports+sesame>
- ¹¹⁶ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ¹¹⁷ Brockington, Dan. 2021. "The Sesame Seed Cash Injection: Commodity-Fuelled Asset Booms in Remote Rural Tanzania." In Dan Brockington, and Christine Noe (eds), *Prosperity in Rural Africa? Insights into Wealth, Assets, and Poverty from Longitudinal Studies in Tanzania* (Oxford, 2021; online edn, Oxford Academic, 23 Sept. 2021), <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198865872.003.0008>, accessed 9 Mar. 2023.
- ¹¹⁸ Lokina, Razack, Julieth J. Tibanywana, and Michael OA Ndanshau. 2020. "Environmental Implication of Sesame Production in Tanzania: A Case Study of Kilwa District, Lindi Region." *Tanzanian Economic Review* 10, no. 1.
- ¹¹⁹ Doggart et al., "Agriculture is the main driver of deforestation in Tanzania."
- ¹²⁰ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."
- ¹²¹ Mpaka, Benjamin William. 2016. "Economic Partnership Agreement has never made much sense for Tanzania." *Bilaterals*, July 28, 2016. Accessed November 2022. <https://www.bilaterals.org/?east-africa-economic-partnership>
- ¹²² Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ¹²³ FAO, "FAOSTAT."

- ¹²⁴ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ¹²⁵ World Bank. 2009. "The Cotton Sector of Tanzania." Africa Region Working Paper Series No. 127. World Bank, March 2009. Accessed November 2022. <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/477011468311968899/pdf/517160NWP0WPS110Box342044B01PUBLIC1.pdf>
- ¹²⁶ USDA, Foreign Agricultural Service. 2015. "Commodity Intelligence Report. Tanzania." USDA. Accessed November, 2022. <https://ipad.fas.usda.gov/highlights/2015/09/TZ/index.htm>
- ¹²⁷ Mwangulumba, E.I. & Kalidushi Buluma M. 2012. "Tanzania Cotton Production and Productivity." Paper presented at SEACF Conference in Nyeri-Kenya 26-29 August 2012. Accessed November 2022. https://www.icac.org/Content/SEEPDocuments/PdfFilesdfeccd6_7de2_4859_9c98_59787f9d610d/Tanzania%20Cotton%20Production%20and%20Productivity%20Emanuel%20Mwangulumba.pdf
- ¹²⁸ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."
- ¹²⁹ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ¹³⁰ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ¹³¹ FAO, "FAOSTAT."
- ¹³² Perfect Daily Grind. 2021. "A guide to Tanzanian coffee production." Perfect Daily Grind, October 13. Accessed November 2022. <https://perfectdailygrind.com/2021/10/a-guide-to-tanzanian-coffee-production/>
- ¹³³ The Citizen. 2018. "Coffee production to drop as credit woes bite: board." The Citizen, March 20, 2021. Accessed August 2022. Coffee production to drop as credit woes bite: board | The Citizen
- ¹³⁴ International Coffee Organization. 2017. "Value addition in the African coffee sector." ICO. [ico-120-7e-african-coffee-sector.pdf \(ico.org\)](http://ico.org)
- ¹³⁵ Craves, Julie. 2007. "Tanzanian coffee." Coffee and Conservation, April 20, 2007. Accessed November 2022. [https://www.coffeehabitat.com/2007/04/tanzania/](http://www.coffeehabitat.com/2007/04/tanzania/)
- ¹³⁶ Ismail, Bertha. 2022. "Hope as coffee makes new return as major cash crop." The Citizen, August 15, 2022. Accessed November 2022. <https://www.thecitizen.co.tz/tanzania/news/business/hope-as-coffee-makes-new-return-as-major-cash-crop-3915658>
- ¹³⁷ USDA, Foreign Agricultural Service. 2021. "Tanzania: Coffee Annual." USDA. Accessed November 2022. <https://www.fas.usda.gov/data/tanzania-coffee-annual-5>
- ¹³⁸ Ismail, "Hope as coffee makes new return as major cash crop."
- ¹³⁹ Pendrill et al., "Deforestation risk embodied in production and consumption of agricultural and forestry commodities 2005–2018."
- ¹⁴⁰ USDA, "Tanzania: Coffee Annual."
- ¹⁴¹ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."
- ¹⁴² Craves, "Tanzanian coffee."
- ¹⁴³ Bunn, C., P. Läderach, O. Ovalle Rivera, and D. Kirschke (2015): A bitter cup: climate change profile of global production of Arabica and Robusta coffee. *Climatic Change*, Vol. 129 (1-2), pp 89–101
- ¹⁴⁴ (Bunn et al. 2015).
- ¹⁴⁵ FAO, "Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020, United Republic of Tanzania."
- ¹⁴⁶ MNRT, "NAFORMA Main Results." cited in Doggart et al., "Agriculture is the main driver of deforestation in Tanzania."
- ¹⁴⁷ Mbwambo, Lawrence. 2015. "The distribution of powers and responsibilities affecting forests, land use, and REDD+ across levels and sectors in Tanzania: A legal study." Occasional Paper 147. Bogor, Indonesia: CIFOR. https://www.cifor.org/publications/pdf_files/OccPapers/OP-147.pdf
- ¹⁴⁸ GFW, "Tanzania Dashboard"

- ¹⁴⁹ Mbwambo, L., T. Eid, R. E. Malimbwi, E. Zahabu, G. C. Kajembe, and E. Luoga. 2012. "Impact of decentralised forest management on forest resource conditions in Tanzania." *Forests, Trees and Livelihoods* 21, no. 2 : 97-113.
- ¹⁵⁰ Nzunda, Emmanuel Fred. "Conservation policy, type of protected area and deforestation in mainland Tanzania." *International Journal of Natural Resource Ecology and Management* 6, no. 2 (2021): 49. Nzunda, "Conservation policy, type of protected area and deforestation in mainland Tanzania."
- ¹⁵¹ Nzunda, "Conservation policy, type of protected area and deforestation in mainland Tanzania."
- ¹⁵² Doggart et al., "Agriculture is the main driver of deforestation in Tanzania."
- ¹⁵³ Nzunda, "Conservation policy, type of protected area and deforestation in mainland Tanzania."
- ¹⁵⁴ UNEP-WCMC. 2023. "Protected Area Profile for United Republic of Tanzania from the World Database on Protected Areas." March 2023. Available at: www.protectedplanet.net
- ¹⁵⁵ Kideghesho, J. R. (2015). Realities on deforestation in Tanzania—trends, drivers, implications and the way forward. *Precious Forests-Precious Earth*, 21-47.
- ¹⁵⁶ Misana, S. B. 1999. "Deforestation in Tanzania: a development crisis." *The Experience of Kahama District* 13.
- ¹⁵⁷ Mshale et al., "Governing mangroves."
- ¹⁵⁸ Mpandangindo, Salum Mohamed. 2022. "Mangrove forests in Tanzania." *Blue Life Hub*, December 29, 2022. Accessed November 2022. <https://www.bluelifehub.com/2022/12/29/mangrove-forests-in-tanzania/>
- ¹⁵⁹ Tanzania Forest Conservation Group, TFCG. 2015. "An analysis of the ecological and financial sustainability of natural forest management in Tanzania." Dar es Salaam, November 2015. TFCG Technical paper 48. <https://www.tfcg.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/TFCG-Report-on-the-Sustainability-of-Natural-Forest-Management-in-Tanzania-2015-FINAL.pdf>
- ¹⁶⁰ TFCG, "An analysis of the ecological and financial sustainability of natural forest management in Tanzania."
- ¹⁶¹ TFCG, "An analysis of the ecological and financial sustainability of natural forest management in Tanzania."
- ¹⁶² Nzunda, "Conservation policy, type of protected area and deforestation in mainland Tanzania."
- ¹⁶³ Gadsden, David. 2022. "2021 Esri Conservation Summit Blog Series: Tanzania National Parks (TANAPA)." *Esri Blog*, January 25, 2022. <https://www.esri.com/en-us/industries/blog/articles/2021-esri-conservation-summit-blog-series-tanzania-national-parks-tanapa/#:~:text=Currently%2C%20TANAPA%20manages%2022%20national,forest%2C%20mountains%2C%20and%20wetlands.>
- ¹⁶⁴ Nzunda, "Conservation policy, type of protected area and deforestation in mainland Tanzania."
- ¹⁶⁵ Oakland Institute. 2022. "Justice denied: East African court of justice grants Tanzanian government impunity to trample human rights of the Maasai." *Oakland Institute*, September 30, 2022. Accessed November 2022. <https://www.oaklandinstitute.org/east-african-court-justice-tanzanian-masai-trample-human-rights>
- ¹⁶⁶ Survival International. 2022. « Tanzania: Thousands of Maasai flee into the bush after dozens shot and detained following evictions for trophy hunting and conservation." *Survival International*, June 23, 2022. <https://www.survivalinternational.org/news/13051>
- ¹⁶⁷ Beymer-Farris, Betsy A., and Thomas J. Bassett. 2012. "The REDD menace: Resurgent protectionism in Tanzania's mangrove forests." *Global Environmental Change* 22, no. 2 (2012): 332-341. The REDD menace: Resurgent protectionism in Tanzania's mangrove forests - ScienceDirect
- ¹⁶⁸ United Republic of Tanzania. 04 June 2022. Forest Act, 2022 (Act No. 7 of 2002). [https://www.fao.org/faolex/results/details/en/c/LEX-FAOC034429/#:~:text=Republic%20of%20Tanzania-,Forest%20Act%2C%202002%20\(Act%20No.,the%20trade%20of%20forest%20produce.](https://www.fao.org/faolex/results/details/en/c/LEX-FAOC034429/#:~:text=Republic%20of%20Tanzania-,Forest%20Act%2C%202002%20(Act%20No.,the%20trade%20of%20forest%20produce.)
- ¹⁶⁹ Sunderlin et al., "From Exclusion to Ownership?"
- ¹⁷⁰ URT, 1998 National Forest Policy.
- ¹⁷¹ Sunderlin et al., "From Exclusion to Ownership?"
- ¹⁷² URT, "NAFORMA (National Forest Resources Monitoring and Assessment of Tanzania Mainland), Main Results."

- ¹⁷³ Doggart et al., "Agriculture is the main driver of deforestation in Tanzania."
- ¹⁷⁴ Kweka, et al. "The context of REDD+ in Tanzania Drivers, agents and institutions"
- ¹⁷⁵ Kideghesho, "Realities on deforestation in Tanzania."
- ¹⁷⁶ URT, "Tanzania's Forest Reference Emission Level (FREL) Submission to the UNFCCC."
- ¹⁷⁷ Mbwambo, L., T. Eid, R. E. Malimbwi, E. Zahabu, G. C. Kajembe, and E. Luoga. 2012. "Impact of decentralised forest management on forest resource conditions in Tanzania." *Forests, Trees and Livelihoods* 21, no. 2: 97-113.
- ¹⁷⁸ Liang et al. 2023. "Assessing protected area's carbon stocks and ecological structure at regional-scale using GEDI lidar" <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959378022001595>
- ¹⁷⁹ Milledge S., Gelvas I.K, Ahrends A. 2007. "Forestry, Governance and National Development: Lessons Learned from a Logging Boom in Southern Tanzania." TRAFFIC East/Southern Africa / Tanzania Development Partners Group / Ministry of Natural Resources of Tourism, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. 252pp. http://www.trafficj.org/publication/07_Forestry_Governance_and_national.pdf
- ¹⁸⁰ Arvola et al. 2019 "Mapping the Future Market Potential of Timber from Small-Scale Tree Farmers: Perspectives from the Southern Highlands in Tanzania" <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11842-019-09414-8>
- ¹⁸¹ Mankinen U, Koskinen J, Käyhkö N, Pekkarinen A (2016) Remote sensing and participatory based forest plantation mapping of the Southern Highlands, Tanzania. Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations, University of Turku, Dar es Salaam.
- ¹⁸² Arvola, Anne, Arttu Malkamäki, Juho Penttilä, and Anne Toppinen. 2019. "Mapping the future market potential of timber from small-scale tree farmers: Perspectives from the Southern Highlands in Tanzania." *Small-scale Forestry* 18: 189-212.
- ¹⁸³ Liang et al., "Assessing protected area's carbon stocks and ecological structure at regional-scale using GEDI lidar"
- ¹⁸⁴ Doggart et al., "Agriculture is the main driver of deforestation in Tanzania."
- ¹⁸⁵ Doggart et al., "Agriculture is the main driver of deforestation in Tanzania."
- ¹⁸⁶ Sunderlin et al., "From Exclusion to Ownership?"
- ¹⁸⁷ Doggart et al., "Agriculture is the main driver of deforestation in Tanzania."
- ¹⁸⁸ United Republic of Tanzania, Ministry of Agriculture Food Security and Cooperatives. October 2013. National Agriculture Policy. Dar es Salaam. <https://faolex.fao.org/docs/pdf/tan141074.pdf>
- ¹⁸⁹ Engström, L., Bélair, J. and Blache, A. 2022. "Formalising village land dispossession? An aggregate analysis of the combined effects of the land formalisation and land acquisition agendas in Tanzania." *Land Use Policy*, 120, p.106255.
- ¹⁹⁰ Engström et al. "Formalising village land dispossession?"
- ¹⁹¹ GCCA+. 2019. "Land Use planning in the context of climate change adaptation" [gcca_tz_policy_brief_2_land_use_2019.pdf \(europa.eu\)](https://www.europa.eu)
- ¹⁹² Engström et al. "Formalising village land dispossession?"
- ¹⁹³ Mdee, A., Ofori, A., Chasukwa, M. and Manda, S., 2021. "Neither sustainable nor inclusive: a political economy of agricultural policy and livelihoods in Malawi, Tanzania and Zambia." *The Journal of Peasant Studies*, 48(6), pp.1260-1283
- ¹⁹⁴ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."
- ¹⁹⁵ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."
- ¹⁹⁶ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."
- ¹⁹⁷ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."
- ¹⁹⁸ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."
- ¹⁹⁹ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."

²⁰⁰ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."

²⁰¹ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."

²⁰² UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."

²⁰³ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."

²⁰⁴ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."

²⁰⁵ UN Statistics Division, "UN Comtrade."

This Timber Legality Country Risk Dashboard (Dashboard) was drafted by Forest Trends and funded by a grant from the United States Department of State, Bureau of Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs. The opinions, findings, and conclusions stated herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the United States Department of State or any other party. The United States supports efforts to raise awareness of and combat global illegal logging and associated trade. This dashboard contributes to these ongoing efforts.

The Dashboards have been compiled from publicly available information sources to support risk assessments on the legality of timber products entering international supply chains. The Dashboards are for educational and informational purposes only. The Dashboards have been drafted with input from the Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) and are subject to external peer review. The Dashboards will be updated periodically based on newly available information.

Forest Trends shall not be liable for any indirect, incidental, consequential, special, punitive or other similar damages, including but not limited to loss of revenues, lost profits, lost data or business interruption or other intangible losses (however such losses are qualified), arising out of or relating in any way to any party's reliance on the information contained in the Dashboards.

