



Timber Legality Risk Dashboard: Democratic Republic of the Congo

Drafted as of: November 2020

SUMMARY OF LEGALITY RISKS

Risk Score: 97.7 (Higher-Risk^a)¹

Conflict State: YES (Medium Intensity Conflict)²

Log Export Restriction in Effect: YES³

Import Regulation in Effect: NO

- **Illegal logging is increasing and driving deforestation in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC).**
- **There are well-publicized governance challenges, subnational conflict, and reports of corruption in the DRC's timber sector.**
- **There is a high risk that timber has been logged without the proper permits or that the permits were corruptly obtained.**
- **There remains a risk of unsustainable and illegal trade in CITES-listed species.**
- **Forest laws are not well-enforced.**
- **Non-mandated independent monitoring and watchdog groups are facing intimidation.**
- **Tax evasion is widespread.**
- **Direct exports to US and EU markets have fallen significantly, while exports to Vietnam and India have rapidly risen.**

TRADE PROFILE OF FOREST PRODUCTS^{b, c, 4, 5}

Total Imports (2018): US\$93.86 million

Total Exports (2018): US\$110.38 million. US\$36.52 million (33.1 percent) exported to "regulated markets"^d

SUMMARY OF HIGHEST PRODUCT-LEVEL RISKS

Exports - Top Products Exported to the US by 2018 Value⁶

- Sawnwood (HS4407)
- Wood Furniture - Bedroom (HS940350)
- Veneer (HS4408)
- Particleboard (HS4410)
- Marquetry (HS4420)
- Paper (HS48)

The Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) has restricted the export of logs since 2002, establishing a maximum log export quota of 30 percent of annual timber production for each authorized forestry or processing company, for a 10-year period starting from the date on which forest exploitation commenced.^{7,8,9} While this policy was designed to promote local timber processing, this objective is reportedly far from being achieved due to the challenges associated with timber industrialization.¹⁰ This restriction is presumed to remain in force *de jure*.¹¹ A moratorium on new logging concessions has been in place since 2002.¹² However, new contracts have continued to be allocated.

Summary of Highest Product-Level Risks (continued)

In June 2017, the DRC reportedly began pursuing a new policy banning the export of logs,^{13,14,15} although this policy was never fully implemented and has reportedly since been abandoned.^{16,17} In September 2020, the DRC and the Central African Economic and Monetary Community (CEMAC) jointly announced a ban on all log exports from Congo Basin countries effective as of January 1, 2022.¹⁸

SUMMARY OF HIGHEST SPECIES-LEVEL RISKS

CITES-Listed Species:¹⁹

Appendix II:

- **Afrormosia** (*Pericopsis elata*)
- **African Cherry** (*Prunus africana*)
- **Bubinga** (*Guibourtia demeusei* *Guibourtia tessmannii*)
- **Rosewood** (*Dalbergia* spp.)²⁰
- *Pterocarpus tinctorius*²¹

The following species are protected by law:²²

- **Afrormosia** (*Pericopsis elata*)²³
- **Ebony** (*Diospyros crassiflora*)²⁴
- **Wenge** (*Millettia laurentii*)^{25,26}

All protected species should be considered high-risk due to the domestic challenges in securing valid logging and export permits.

FORESTRY SECTOR

Forested Area: 126.15 million ha²⁷ (19.3% protected)²⁸

Deforestation Rate: 0.83 percent annually²⁹

Forest Ownership (as of 2015):³⁰

- 131.6 million ha publicly-owned (100%).^e


Certified Forests:

- The Democratic Republic of Congo has no FSC- or PEFC-certified forests.
- Compagnie Forestière de Transformation (CFT): certificate of legality issued by Preferred by Nature (May 16 2019) according to the Legal Source standard for an area of 544,145 ha located near Kisangani and its processing activities.³¹
- Industrie Forestière du Congo (IFCO): certificate of legality issued by Preferred by Nature (April 10 2020) according to the Legal Source standard for the Alibuku forest concession and for the processing plant in Kinkole.³²


Domestic Production: ³³

- Wood Fuel: 85.62 million m³ (2019)
- Logs: 4.61 million m³ (2019)
- Charcoal: 2.63 million tonnes (2019)
- Sawnwood: 150 thousand m³ (2019)
- Paper: 6 thousand tonnes (2019)
- Veneer: 5 thousand m³ (2019)
- Plywood: 2 thousand m³ (2019)


DRC'S TOP SOURCE COUNTRIES FOR TIMBER PRODUCTS BY VALUE (2018)³⁴




DRC'S TOP DESTINATION MARKETS FOR FOREST PRODUCTS BY VALUE (2018)³⁵




HIGH-RISK EXPORTS: LOG EXPORTS BY DESTINATION COUNTRY (2014 - 2018)




HIGH-RISK EXPORTS: SAWNWOOD EXPORTS BY DESTINATION COUNTRY (2014 - 2018)




HIGH-RISK EXPORTS: TIMBER EXPORTS TO CHINA (2015 - 2019)³⁶



HIGH-RISK EXPORTS: LOG EXPORTS TO CHINA BY SPECIES (2013 - 2019)³⁷



HIGH-RISK EXPORTS: TIMBER EXPORTS TO VIETNAM (2015 - 2019)³⁸



- **Illegal logging is increasing and driving deforestation in the DRC.**

The DRC's forest area is estimated at 155 million hectares (70 percent of DRC total area and 60 percent of the Congo Basin's forest area).³⁹

Deforestation rates have almost doubled in the last decade (2010-2020) compared with 2000-2010.⁴⁰ The DRC reported a loss of over one million hectares annually between 2010 and 2020, the third highest in the world behind China and Brazil.

Much of this recorded loss has taken place in the last few years, with the University of Maryland reporting that 2017 was a record year for tree cover loss in the DRC.⁴¹

Deforestation is reportedly driven by a range of factors, with studies pointing to slash-and-burn agriculture and, increasingly, artisanal logging which is often illegal, as well as charcoal production.^{42,43} Industrial forest concessions only cover roughly 7 percent of the DRC's forests⁴⁴ with WRI suggesting that just 10 percent of the 2017 forest loss occurred inside logging concessions.⁴⁵

- **There are well-publicized governance challenges, subnational conflict, and reports of corruption in the DRC's timber sector.**

The DRC ranks extremely low on many indices measuring governance and corruption including the Mo Ibrahim Index of African Governance (listed at number 47 out of 54 countries) for 2018,⁴⁶ and ranked 168 out of 198 on Transparency International's 2019 Corruption Perceptions Index.⁴⁷ The size of the country and its geographic spread, low state penetration into the regions, weak institutions, and ongoing regional violence, particularly in the East of the country, make governance a significant challenge. Corruption and the lack of political will are considered to be the biggest obstacles to improved forest sector governance.⁴⁸ Corruption reportedly permeates all levels of government and all sectors of the economy, rendering the country's investment climate as one of the least competitive in the world.⁴⁹ Mining and forestry are most frequently cited as affected by corruption (along with taxation, customs administration, and public enterprises).⁵⁰

The DRC is classified as a *Medium-Intensity Conflict* situation in 2020 by the World Bank, due to sub-national violence following high-intensity conflict between 1999 and 2003. The security situation can deteriorate quickly due to deep socioeconomic, political, and military tensions.⁵¹ During the height of the war, 'conflict timber' provided finance to several armed groups and reportedly continued to fund the ongoing rebel activity in eastern DRC even after the war officially ended in 2003.⁵² In its 2019 report, the UN Group of Experts on the DRC noted that the rebel group Nduma défense du Congo-Rénoyé (NDC-R) continued to collect "taxes" from timber and charcoal dealers. Rebel groups NDC-R, Mai-Mai Yakutumba, Mai-Mai Malaika and Nyatura reportedly earned revenue from the production, illegal taxation and the sale of natural resources, including mineral ore and timber for their survival and the purchase of arms and ammunition.⁵³

The DRC significantly revised forest sector laws and regulations following 2003 peace accords.⁵⁴ Most of the pre-war logging concessions were annulled: logging concessions decreased from 40 to 12 million hectares between 2000 and 2010.⁵⁵

The 2002 Forest Code is the main national-level legal framework for forest management. While it includes many best-practice elements (public competitive bidding for forest concession allocation, recognition of customary ownership and user rights), several key implementing regulations are still missing, creating loopholes and undermining the law's intent. In particular, a Ministerial Order establishing the conditions for granting timber export quotas was never implemented as required by Article 109 of the Forest Code.⁵⁶ Businesses consider bribery routine and are subject to around 108 "taxes", most of which have no legal basis.⁵⁷

The DRC anti-corruption institutional and legal framework is considered largely ineffective due to weak capacity and political will to address corruption.⁵⁸

The DRC and the European Union began negotiating a Voluntary Partnership Agreement (VPA) in 2010 to promote trade in legal timber products and improve forest governance. Despite an informal suspension of the process in 2013, some progress has reportedly been made on a few core elements of the VPA since November 2016.⁵⁹

- **There is a high risk that timber has been logged without the proper permits or that the permits were corruptly obtained.**

The State has the power to grant access rights to the forest area or the forest resources. Poor management of land rights allocations processes have resulted in land use disputes and conflicts⁶⁰ due to failure of government agencies to consult local communities.⁶¹

Industrial Logging Concessions

Industrial timber companies are expected to apply for an industrial logging concession permit. Most companies logging in industrial concessions are foreign-financed, particularly from Europe and China,^f and operate in eight of the twenty-six provinces (Table 1). As of 2019,^g fifteen of the twenty-seven companies with concessions were active. Eight companies hold rights to 81 percent of the forest areas intended for industrial logging including SODEFOR (28.9 percent of industrial logging area), Maniema Union (11.6 percent), Booming Green DRC (11 percent), FORABOLA (10.3 percent), CFT (5.8 percent), IFCO (5 percent), Kitenge-Lola Establishment (4.8 percent) and Motema (3.6 percent).⁶²

While forest loss has soared, the DRC has had a moratorium on granting new industrial logging concessions since 2002.⁶³ However, new logging permits continue to be issued, with numerous cancellations and re-issuances in subsequent years leading to confusion and questions about legal basis and the extent to which corruption plays a role. In July 2003, the World Bank estimated that around 9.5 million ha of land had been newly allocated since the moratorium.⁶⁴ A legal review of existing titles led to the cancellation of many in 2009, some of which were re-issued in 2011.⁶⁵ In 2015 and 2016, the government gave out eight concessions in breach of the moratorium, which were subsequently canceled following pressure from NGOs and donors,⁶⁶ but then three were subsequently reissued (including to two Chinese companies).⁶⁷ In 2020, the DRC also granted nine concessions to Chinese companies.⁶⁸ The legality of the relevant contracts is being contested in court by local NGOs.⁶⁹

Concession holders are required to submit and gain approval for a management plan, of which 40 percent have been validated by the CVPAF (Forest Management Plan Validation Committee); 18 percent have been submitted but are awaiting validation. In addition to this validation,⁷⁰ the management plan must also be approved by the Provincial Governor.

Failure to meet these requirements can mean that the concessions are returned to the State, with reports suggesting that such concessions should then be considered to be operating illegally if they are not returned to the state.⁷¹ In many instances, the absence of a validated and approved management plan is usually justified by the company with an additional grace period requested.⁷² Around 42 percent of forest titles have no management plan submitted or validated. Only a few concessions have actually been returned to the State⁷³ and thus there is a lack of clarity around the extent to which many concessions should be considered to be operating illegally.

Adhesion to management plan requirements is reportedly poor. Global Witness has reported that European company Norsudtimber – the biggest single owner of logging concessions in the DRC – was operating illegally on 90 percent of its sites⁷⁴ due to the failure to implement the management plan within the deadlines imposed by law, and/or due to signs of logging activity outside of authorized boundaries. Norsudtimber, through its subsidiaries, the Société de Développement Forestier (Sodefor), the Société Forestière et Agricole de la M'Bola (Forabola), and La Forestière du Lac holds twenty logging concessions covering 43,426 square kilometres of DRC's rainforest.

TABLE 1: GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF FOREST CONCESSION CONTRACTS

Province	Number of Forest Titles	Area (Ha)
Tshopo	14	2,957,661
Ma -ndombe	16	2,635,520
Equateur	12	1,939,626
Mongala	7	1,258,217
Ma -ndombe/Equateur	2	569,517
Mongala/Tshuapa	2	499,643
Equateur/Sud Ubangi	1	284,323
Tshuapa	1	275,064
Ituri	1	60,182
Kasa	1	13,925
Total	57	10,715,678

Source: ATIBT, 2020.

Artisanal Logging

Nearly 90 percent of logging in the DRC is small-scale, illegal or informal outside of industrial logging concessions. Small-scale operators are required to pay approximately US\$500 in order to obtain a license granting them the status of artisanal logger for a period of five years. They can subsequently obtain a maximum of 2 cutting permits, each valid for one year, covering a maximum of 50 hectares. Logging without a permit is a crime punishable by up to three years in prison, a fine of between 10,000 to 500,000 francs (US\$6 to 318), or both.⁷⁵ Logging without an artisanal logging permit is therefore common with gifts or bribes offered instead to both local communities and government officials.⁷⁶ There are no competitive, public bidding requirements for artisanal logging licenses.⁷⁷

Several large industrial logging companies, some foreign, are circumventing the moratorium on new industrial logging by improperly obtaining 'artisanal' permits,⁷⁸ particularly in the regions of Bandundu and Equateur. Wood valued at between 5 and 56 million Euros was illegally harvested by industrial operators using artisanal logging permits from 2010 to 2012.⁷⁹ In the same period, as much as 94 percent of artisanal logging permits were issued illegally to companies instead of individuals – either directly, or indirectly via agreements reached with individuals from local communities.⁸⁰ The 2016 Ministerial Order on timber logging⁸¹ requires the publication of the following information in the Official Journal of the DRC and on the Ministry website:

- A directory of artisanal loggers;
- A directory of artisanal logging permits, including their cartography;
- A quarterly report on timber production (available only on the website); and
- A quarterly report on timber exports.

To date, this information has not been published. This lack of transparency reinforces the opacity and illegality in this sector.

Artisanal loggers can trade and export timber and this illegally logged timber under artisanal licenses can still be exported to international market.⁸² An enormous quantity of timber is smuggled by small-scale loggers across land borders, particularly in the east to Uganda and Rwanda and in the north to South Sudan.⁸³ This includes operators seeking to avoid paying export or reforestation taxes.⁸⁴

Special logging permits

Logging companies were reportedly regularly harvesting protected forestry species without acquiring a special logging permit or a special logging authorization.⁸⁵ No official template existed for the special permit for logging of protected species, encouraging exports of protected species without legal permits or with a forged permit.⁸⁶ Enforcement authorities in the European Union have reported fraud with regards to imports of wengé (*Millettia laurentii*) logs from the DRC. German authorities confiscated imported logs from two German companies after establishing that documents supposedly from The Ministry of the Environment and Sustainable Development (MEDD) were forged.⁸⁷ The DRC has reportedly cancelled the requirement for special logging permits. All species are now required to be included in the annual harvesting permits and CITES-listed species are subject to a quota allocation license.⁸⁸

Transparency

While transparency of information on industrial concessions has improved slightly, most new logging in recent years has been under 'artisanal' permits and transparency of information regarding these permits is extremely poor. DRC legislation requires MEDD to publish industrial concession contracts, management plans, social agreements and quarterly declarations of timber logged by each concession. Reports suggest that there are no maps, no copies of contracts and no management plans or other data provided for these permits which can be issued by both provincial and central government authorities.⁸⁹ Global Witness has found that only a small selection of these documents is available on the MEDD website, which has been offline for long periods of time.⁹⁰ A Ministerial Order⁹¹ requires the publication of the volume of timber production and the quantity of timber exports; however, these legal obligations are rarely respected, both by loggers who do not submit reports on their timber production and exports, and by the government which does not publish this information.

- **There remains a risk of unsustainable and illegal trade in CITES-listed species.**

DRC authorities have struggled to effectively implement CITES, despite having national legislation that meets its requirements.⁹² There continue to be substantial concerns about the scale of unsustainable and illegal trade in several species. Subject to a brief suspension of trade in all CITES-listed species in 2015, as of 2020 DRC is only under a trade ban for unsustainable and illegal trade in grey parrot and pangolin.

Afrormosia (*Pericopsis elata*) is listed on Appendix II of CITES and in April 2014 the CITES Secretariat notified Parties of the existence of a "large number of fake or falsified [export] permits apparently issued by the Democratic Republic of the Congo," providing a long list of "permits... that are unaccounted for," suggesting that further clarification was required as to whether the CITES authorities in the DRC had correctly issued them.⁹³ MEDD had authorized industrial loggers to cut at least 55,373 m³ of Afrormosia despite a CITES national export quota of 25,000 m³.

Since 2015, the DRC moved the CITES Management Authority to the Institut Congolais pour la Conservation de la Nature (ICCN) and began to build an improved permitting system.⁹⁴ Scanned copies of all CITES export permits were sent to the Secretariat starting in December 2017. From July 2020, all permits are expected to include a QR code for verification. EU countries importing CITES-listed species from DRC started to report a lack of CITES documents for some products that the DRC authorities claimed had undergone some processing/second transformation (sometimes very minimal) which would fall outside the scope of the CITES requirements.⁹⁵ In 2019, the annotation for *Pericopsis elata* was amended to also include "transformed wood."⁹⁶

- **Forest laws are not well-enforced.**

Enforcement-related information is very limited outside publication of the mandated independent monitor reports, and information is generally difficult or impossible to obtain on request.⁹⁷ Enforcement is under-resourced with infractions rarely uncovered.⁹⁸ DRC law requires that authorities visit each logging concession at least four times a year but very few missions are ever undertaken. According to the Control and Verification Unit (CCV), there are roughly 139 officers responsible for enforcing the DRC Forest Code and most are based in cities far from the logging concessions. Recent estimates suggest 80 officials are based in Kinshasa, 36 in Tshopo, 10 in Equateur, 10 in Mai Ndombe and 3 in Mongala.⁹⁹ There is a limited modern communication infrastructure and travelling to concessions is reportedly very difficult.¹⁰⁰

In the few cases where penalties have been applied, they have been small and insufficient to dissuade future illegal activities. Despite multiple studies by local and international NGOs, think tanks, as well as independent forest monitors listing multiple breaches of the Forest Code, there are no documented cases of fines, or imprisonment of industrial loggers found guilty of breaching DRC law.¹⁰¹ Rainforest Foundation report the arrest of a company official in March 2019 – believed to be the first of its kind against a logging company in the DRC – but the company was acquitted on all counts in May 2019.¹⁰²

- **Non-mandated independent monitoring and watchdog groups are facing intimidation.**

An Independent Monitor of Forest Law Enforcement and Governance (IM-FLEG) started checking forest operations in the field in 2010. The IM-FLEG has an official mandate from the government and was established with funding from international donors. Its field missions are conducted jointly with government officials and its reports are published only after they have been discussed by a Committee, including representatives of the DRC government, donor institutions, the forestry sector and civil society. The UK-based non-profit Resource Extraction Monitoring (REM) acted as IM-FLEG from December 2010 to April 2013, at which point the DRC-based Observatoire de la Gouvernance Forestière (OGF) took over the role.

Unofficial monitoring has become increasingly difficult, with civil society reporting intimidation and restrictions on their activities. International NGOs have also reported an increase in efforts to prevent visits to communities living in logging concessions. In 2016, Global Witness reported that their visit had been cut short with passports confiscated, while in March 2017, Greenpeace researchers and a filmmaker were also expelled from the country for visiting a logging concession.¹⁰³ Other civil society organizations, such as Human Rights Watch, and journalists have also had their visas or visa renewals denied in recent years.¹⁰⁴

- **Tax evasion is widespread.**

There has been a very significant problem with the absence of appropriate billing and collection of forest taxes by the government and the non-payment by companies.¹⁰⁵ More than 90 percent of the forest taxes in the DRC were not paid in 2012, according to a 2013 Global Witness report,¹⁰⁶ with much of the shortfall attributed to illegal arrangements between the forestry sector and MEDD officials, whereby the area tax is charged only on the exploitable area of a concession, as opposed to the entire area as required by law. This practice is legal if a management plan has been validated and approved, but it has been reportedly applied in instances where the management plan has not been approved, which is illegal. In more recent years, however, there appears to have been some improvement. Preferred by Nature reports that Ministerial Decree 050 in 2015 on forest management resolved the questions around the area tax so that calculation and payment of area tax is now under control and is considered to be low risk. However, there remains a risk that all other forestry related taxes are evaded as identified laws are not upheld consistently by all entities and/or are often ignored, and/or are not enforced by relevant authorities.¹⁰⁷

Timber Legality (continued)

REM reports that the price used for the sale of timber by the loggers is often lower than the price prescribed by law through the Mercurial (report of official selling rates on public markets), which contributes to tax evasion.¹⁰⁸

Control by customs officials is lacking or circumvented through bribery.¹⁰⁹

- **Direct exports to US and EU markets have fallen significantly, while exports to Vietnam and India have rapidly risen.**

DRC's exports to the US have decreased 78 percent since 2014, while exports to the EU + EFTA have decreased 29 percent. Meanwhile, timber exports have increased 290 percent to India and 1,812 percent to Vietnam since 2014. China also remains one of the DRC's main export markets, although log exports have decreased in the last few years, particularly since a large one-year spike in 2014.

While US or EU companies are decreasing direct sourcing from the DRC, EU and US operators importing from China, India and Vietnam may, in fact, be importing manufactured wood products made from wood harvested in the DRC.

REPORTS & ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

Forest Trends has compiled a list of relevant reports and additional online tools to complement this country report. The full list is available at Forest Trends' ILAT homepage: <https://www.forest-trends.org/fptf-ilat-home/>

Key resources:

1. Diansambu, Isaac, Mumbere Musavandalo, Charles, Pasteur Bamenga, Louis, Bessia, Timothee, and Paulson Kasereka. 2019. "État des Lieux des Acteurs de la Filière Forêt-Bois en République Démocratique du Congo." Association Technique Internationale des Bois Tropicaux (ATIBT), l'École Régionale Post-Universitaire d'Aménagement et de Gestion Intégrés des Forêts et Territoires Tropicaux (ERAIFT), and Fédération des Industriels du Bois (FIB).
2. Global Witness. 2018. "Total Systems Failure. Exposing the Global Secrecy Destroying Forests in the Democratic Republic of Congo." Global Witness.
3. Global Witness. 2015. "Exporting Impunity: How Congo's Rainforest is Illegally Logged for International Markets." Global Witness.
4. Preferred by Nature. 2017. "Timber Legality Risk Assessment Democratic Republic of the Congo." Preferred by Nature.

METHODOLOGY & TERMINOLOGY NOTES

^a Risk scores reflect Preferred by Nature's Timber Risk Assessment which measures the risk of illegality occurring in 21 areas of law relevant to timber legality, as well as Forest Trends' national governance scores which provides an average relative governance and corruption risk score for 211 countries globally. Preferred by Nature's scores have been flipped to ensure compatibility with Forest Trends' national governance scores, where higher scores are associated with greater governance and corruption challenges. An average of both the Preferred by Nature and Forest Trends scores has been calculated for 59 countries where both are available. For all other countries, the risk score reflects Forest Trends' national governance scores. Countries scoring less than 25 are considered "Lower-Risk," countries scoring between 25 and 50 are "Medium-Risk" and countries scoring above 50 are "Higher-Risk." It is important to note that it is possible to source illegal wood from a well-governed, "Lower-Risk" state and it is also possible to source legal wood from a "Higher-Risk" country. As such, the risk scores can only give an indication of the likely level of illegal logging in a country and ultimately speaks to the risk that corruption and poor governance undermines rule of law in the forest sector.

^b The term "forest products" is used to refer to timber products (including furniture) plus pulp and paper. It covers products classified in the Combined Nomenclature under Chapters 44, 47, 48 and furniture products under Chapter 94. While the term "forest products" is often used more broadly to cover non-timber and non-wood products such as mushrooms, botanicals, and wildlife, for this report, "forest products" is used to refer to timber products plus pulp and paper.

^c Except where otherwise specified, for all trade statistics and charts, data on the Democratic Republic of Congo's trade with China is sourced from the General Administration of Customs, P.R. China, compiled and analyzed by Forest Trends; and data on the Democratic Republic of the Congo's trade with Viet Nam is sourced from General Department of Vietnam Customs, compiled and analyzed by the Vietnam Timber and Forest Product Associations (VIFORES), the Forest Products Association of Binh Dinh (FPA Binh Dinh), the Handicraft and Wood Industry Association of Ho Chi Minh City (HAWA), and Forest Trends. All other data comes from UN Comtrade, compiled and analyzed by Forest Trends.

^d Regulated markets reflect countries and jurisdictions that have developed operational measures to restrict the import of illegal timber. As of 2020, this included the US, Member States of the European Union (as well as the United Kingdom, Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway and Switzerland), Australia, Canada, Colombia, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, and South Korea. Some measures are more comprehensive in scope, implementation, and enforcement than others.

^e 131.6 million ha publicly owned (100%); however, in 2016 DRC established a regulatory framework for community forest management*. As of July 14 2020, 66 community forest concessions have been granted across 7 regions, covering a total of 1.18 million ha roughly equivalent to 0.94% of total forest land**.

^f More than half of the concessions are managed by European capital of Portuguese, Belgian, Swiss and Finnish origin. It is estimated that 39.17% of forests allocated for industrial logging are financed by Swiss -based backers (in the case of SODEFOR and FORABOLA); around 22.56% by Chinese capital through Booming Green RDC and Maniema Union, SOMIFOR, FODECO and SCIBOIS. Belgian capital funds SICOBOIS and SEFOCO, US finance funds SAFO, Franco-Lebanese capital is believed to support BBC, CFT, ITB and IFCO. Other companies operating with Congolese capital include Forestiere Du Lac, ENRA, Compagnie Duwood, Motema, NBK Service House and ETS Kitenge (ATIBT 2020).

^g WRI are expecting to update this data in early 2021 through publication of a poster of existing concessions. This Timber Legality Risk Dashboard will be updated to reflect this new information when it becomes available.

* Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo. 2016. Arrêté ministériel No. 025/CAB/MINECN-DD/CJ/00/RBM/2016 du 9 février 2016 Portant Dispositions Spécifiques Relatives à la Gestion et à l'Exploitation de la Concession Forestière des Communautés Locales. February 9, 2016. <https://www.documents.clientearth.org/wp-content/uploads/library/2016-02-09-arrete-n%C2%B0025-cab-min-ecn-dd-cj-00-rbm-2016-du-9-fevrier-2016-portant-dispositions-specifiques-forets-communautaires-republique-democratique-du-congo-ext-fr.pdf>.

** Congo Basin Forest Partnership. 2020. "Forêt : Vers la finalisation du guide opérationnel de l'élaboration du plan simple de gestion des forêts communautaires en RDC - environews-rdc." *Congo Basin Forest Partnership*, July 14. Accessed September 30, 2020. <https://pfbc-cbfp.org/actualites-partenaires/environews-rdc-foret.html>.

WORKS CITED

- ¹ Forest Trends. 2020. "Global Illegal Logging and Associated Trade (ILAT) Risk Data Tool—Summary of Data and Methodology." Forest Trends. Accessed June 30, 2020. <https://www.forest-trends.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Methodology-for-ILAT-Risk-Data-Tool-March-2020.pdf>. [Forest Trends, ILAT Risk, 2020]
- ² World Bank. 2020. "Harmonized List of Fragile Situations." World Bank, Fragile, Conflict and Violence Group. Accessed June 30, 2020. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/fragilityconflictviolence/brief/harmonized-list-of-fragile-situations>. [World Bank Harmonized List of Fragile Situations, 2020]
- ³ Forest Trends. 2020. "Known Forest Product Export Restrictions, as of June 2020." Forest Trends. Accessed June 30, 2020. <https://www.forest-trends.org/known-log-export-bans/> [Forest Trends, FPER, 2020]
- ⁴ United Nations Statistics Division. 2020. "UN Comtrade." United Nations Statistics Division. Accessed June 30, 2020. <https://comtrade.un.org/data/>. [UN Comtrade, 2020]
- ⁵ Government of the People's Republic of China. "Customs Statistics." Government of the People's Republic of China, General Administration of Customs. Accessed June 30, 2020. <http://43.248.49.97/indexEn>. [Chinese Customs, 2020]
- ⁶ UN Comtrade, 2020.
- ⁷ World Trade Organization. 2016. "Trade Policy Review, Report by the Secretariat, Democratic Republic of the Congo." World Trade Organization. Accessed September 30, 2020. https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/tpr_e/s339_e.pdf. [WTO, 2016]

- ⁸Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo. 2002. Loi N. 011/2002 du 29 Aout 2002 Portant Code Forestier. August 29, 2002. Accessed September 30, 2020. <http://extwprlegs1.fao.org/docs/pdf/cng34383.pdf>. [Democratic Republic of the Congo, Forest Code, 2002]
- ⁹Forest Trends, FPER, 2020.
- ¹⁰European Timber Trade Federation. 2020. "Timber Trade Portal – Democratic Republic of the Congo." European Timber Trade Federation, and the Association Technique Internationale des Bois Tropicaux. Accessed September 30, 2020. <https://www.timbertradeportal.com/countries/drc/#legality-profile>. [ETTF and ATIBT, 2020]
- ¹¹WTO, 2016.
- ¹²ETTF and ATIBT, 2020.
- ¹³Peter, Nsoesie. 2020. "Congo Basin Member States Ban Timber Exports to Stimulate Economic Growth." Today News Africa, September 24. Accessed September 30, 2020. <https://todaynewsafrika.com/congo-basin-member-states-ban-timber-exports-to-stimulate-economic-growth/>.
- ¹⁴CEMAC-Eco.Finance. 2020. "CEMAC Member States and the DRC Decide to End Timber Exports in 22." CEMAC-Eco.Finance, September 18. Accessed September 30, 2020. <https://cemac-eco.finance/cemac-member-states-and-the-drc-decide-to-end-timber-exports-in-22/>. [CEMAC-Eco.Finance, 2020]
- ¹⁵Agence France-Presse. 2017. "RDC: Exportations de Grumes Interdites, Colère des Sociétés Forestières." *L'Antenne*, July 27. Accessed September 30, 2020. https://www.lantenne.com/RDC-exportations-de-grumes-interdites-colere-des-societes-forestieres_a38246.html.
- ¹⁶International Tropical Timber Organization. 2020. "Tropical timber Market Report, Volume 24, Number 19, 1st-15th October 2020." International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO). Accessed October 31, 2020. https://www.itto.int/files/user/mis/MIS_1-15_Oct2020.pdf.
- ¹⁷Chalmin, Philippe and Yves Jégourel. 2019. "Arcadia Annual Report on Commodity Analytics and Dynamics in Africa: L'Afrique et les Marchés Mondiaux de Matières Premières." Centre de Coopération Internationale en Recherche Agronomique pour le Développement (CIFOR), CycLOpe, and the Policy Center for the New South. Accessed September 30, 2020. <https://agritrop.cirad.fr/592435/1/ID592435.pdf>. [CIFOR, Arcadia Annual Report, 2019]
- ¹⁸CEMAC-Eco.Finance, 2020.
- ¹⁹ETTF and ATIBT, 2020.
- ²⁰Preferred by Nature. 2017. "Democratic Republic of Congo Timber Risk Profile." Preferred by Nature. Accessed September 30, 2020. <https://www.nepcon.org/sourcinghub/timber/timber-democratic-republic-congo>. [Preferred by Nature, DRC Website, 2017]
- ²¹Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. 2019. "Eighteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties, Colombo (Sri Lanka), 23 May – 3 June 2019. Consideration of Proposals for Amendment of Appendices I and II. CoP18 Prop. 54." Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. Accessed September 30, 2020. <https://speciesplus.net/api/v1/documents/10709>. [CITES, 2019]
- ²²Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo. 2002. Arrêté Ministeriel N. CAB / MIN / AF.F-E.T/276/2002 du 05 Novembre 2002 Determinant les Essences Forestières Protégées." November 5. Accessed September 30, 2020. <http://extwprlegs1.fao.org/docs/pdf/cng70157.pdf>. [Democratic Republic of the Congo, Arrêté Ministeriel, 2002]
- ²³Preferred by Nature. 2017. "Timber Legality Risk Assessment – Democratic Republic of the Congo." Preferred by Nature. Accessed September 30, 2020. <https://www.nepcon.org/sites/default/files/library/2017-11/NEPCon-TIMBER-DemocraticRepublicoftheCongo-Risk-Assessment-EN-V1.2.pdf>. [Preferred by Nature, DRC Risk Assessment, 2017]
- ²⁴Preferred by Nature, DRC Risk Assessment, 2017.
- ²⁵Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo. 2007. Journal Officiel de la République Démocratique du Congo, Cabinet du Président de la République, Kinshasa – 1er Septembre 2007. September 1. Accessed September 30, 2020. <http://droit-afrique.com/upload/doc/rdc/RDC-Arrete-2007-11-reglementation-coupe-industrielle-du-bois.pdf>. [Democratic Republic of the Congo, Journal Officiel, 2007]
- ²⁶Preferred by Nature, DRC Risk Assessment, 2017.
- ²⁷FAO. 2020. "Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020, Main Report." Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Accessed July 31, 2020. <http://www.fao.org/3/ca9825en/CA9825EN.pdf>. [FAO FRA, 2020]

- ²⁸ FAO FRA, 2020
- ²⁹ FAO FRA, 2020.
- ³⁰ FAO. 2020. "Évaluation des Ressources Forestières Mondiales 2020. Rapport – République Démocratique du Congo." Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Accessed September 30, 2020. <http://www.fao.org/3/cb0125fr/cb0125fr.pdf>.
- ³¹ ETTF and ATIBT, 2020.
- ³² ETTF and ATIBT, 2020.
- ³³ FAO. 2019. "FAOSTAT." Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Accessed August 30, 2020. <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data>.
- ³⁴ UN Comtrade, 2020; Chinese Customs, 2020.
- ³⁵ UN Comtrade, 2020; Chinese Customs, 2020.
- ³⁶ Chinese Customs, 2020.
- ³⁷ Chinese Customs, 2020.
- ³⁸ Government of Vietnam, General Department of Vietnam Customs. 2020. Data compiled and analyzed by the Vietnam Timber and Forest Product Associations (VIFORES), the Forest Products Association of Binh Dinh (FPA Binh Dinh), the Handicraft and Wood Industry Association of Ho Chi Minh City (HAWA), and Forest Trends. Accessed June 30, 2020. [Vietnamese Customs, 2020]
- ³⁹ Diansambu, Isaac, Mumbere Musavandalo, Charles, Pasteur Bamenga, Louis, Bessia, Timothee, and Paulson Kasereka. 2019. "État des Lieux des Acteurs de la Filière Forêt-Bois en République Démocratique du Congo." Association Technique Internationale des Bois Tropicaux (ATIBT), l'École Régionale Post-Universitaire d'Aménagement et de Gestion Intégrés des Forêts et Territoires Tropicaux (ERAIFT), and Fédération des Industriels du Bois (FIB). Accessed September 30, 2020. <https://www.atibt.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/02-06-20-RDC-Rapport-final-Etude-sur-l%C3%A9tat-de-lieu-du-secteur-forestier.pdf>. [ATIBT, 2020]
- ⁴⁰ FAO FRA, 2020.
- ⁴¹ Weisse, Mikaela and Elizabeth Dow Goldman. 2018. "2017 Was the Second-Worst Year on Record for Tropical Tree Cover Loss." World Resources Institute. Accessed October 3, 2020. <https://www.wri.org/blog/2018/06/2017-was-second-worst-year-record-tropical-tree-cover-loss>. [Weisse and Dow Goldman, 2018]
- ⁴² Lawson, Sam. 2014. "Illegal Logging in the Democratic Republic of the Congo." Energy, Environment and Resources EER PP 2014/3. Chatham House. Accessed October 4, 2020. https://www.chathamhouse.org/sites/default/files/home/chatham/public_html/sites/default/files/20140400LoggingDRCLawson.pdf. [Lawson, 2014]
- ⁴³ Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ministère de l'Environnement, Conservation de la Nature et Tourisme. 2012 "Synthèse des études sur les causes de la déforestation et de la dégradation des forêts en République Démocratique du Congo. Version Finale." Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ministère de l'Environnement, Conservation de la Nature et Tourisme (MECNT), and UN-REDD Programme. Accessed October 8, 2020. <http://www.forestpeoples.org/sites/fpp/files/publication/2013/05/synthese-etudes-causes-ddfinalaout2012.pdf>.
- ⁴⁴ Engunda Ikala, Alain, Halleux, Clare, Mambeta, Roger and Lauren Williams. 2018. "Tracking Deforestation in DRC's Forest Concessions Is Complicated." World Resources Institute. Accessed October 3, 2020. <https://www.wri.org/blog/2018/08/tracking-deforestation-drcs-forest-concessions-complicated>. [Engunda Ikala et al., 2018]
- ⁴⁵ Weisse and Dow Goldman, 2018.
- ⁴⁶ Mo Ibrahim Foundation. 2019. "Ibrahim Index of African Governance 2018." Mo Ibrahim Foundation. Accessed October 5, 2020. <https://mo.ibrahim.foundation/iiag>
- ⁴⁷ Transparency International. 2020. "Corruption Perception Index 2019." Transparency International. Accessed October 5, 2020. <https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2019/results/cod>.
- ⁴⁸ Lawson, 2014.
- ⁴⁹ GAN Risk and Compliance Portal. 2016. "DR Congo Corruption Report." GAN. Accessed October 8, 2020. <https://www.ganintegrity.com/portal/country-profiles/democratic-republic-of-the-congo/>.

- ⁵⁰Assembe-Mvondo, Samuel. 2015. "National-level corruption risks and mitigation strategies in the implementation of REDD+ in the Democratic Republic of the Congo: An overview of the current situation." U4 Issue 2015:9. CMI. Accessed October 12, 2020. <http://www.redd-monitor.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/U4-Issue-2015-09-WEB.pdf>. [Assembe-Mvondo, 2015]
- ⁵¹World Bank Harmonized List of Fragile Situations, 2020.
- ⁵²Security Council Report. 2013. "UN Panel of Experts' reports to UN Security Council, 2003–13." Security Council Report. Accessed October 4, 2020. <http://www.securitycouncilreport.org>.
- ⁵³United Nations Security Council. 2019. "Letter dated 20 December 2019 from the Group of Experts on the Democratic Republic of the Congo addressed to the President of the Security Council." United Nations. Accessed November 9, 2020. <https://undocs.org/S/2019/974>.
- ⁵⁴Lawson, 2014
- ⁵⁵WRI and MECNT. 2010. "Atlas forestier interactif de la République Démocratique du Congo – version 1.0: Document de synthèse." World Resources Institute (WRI). Accessed October 8, 2020. http://pdf.wri.org/interactive_forest_atlas_drc_fr.pdf.
- ⁵⁶WRI Reviewer. 2020. Personal Communication, World Resources Institute. November 3, 2020. [WRI Reviewer, 2020]
- ⁵⁷ATIBT, 2020.
- ⁵⁸Chêne, Marie. 2010. "Overview of corruption and anti-corruption in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)." U4 Expert Answer Number 257. Transparency International. Accessed October 8, 2020. <https://knowledgehub.transparency.org/helpdesk/overview-of-corruption-and-anti-corruption-in-the-democratic-republic->
- ⁵⁹EU FLEGT Facility. 2020. "Background: The Democratic Republic of the Congo-EU Voluntary Partnership Agreement." Accessed October 20, 2020. <https://www.euflegt.efi.int/background-drc>.
- ⁶⁰Preferred by Nature. 2017. "Timber Legality Risk Assessment Democratic Republic of the Congo." Preferred by Nature. Accessed October 4, 2020. <https://preferredbynature.org/sites/default/files/library/2017-11/NEPCon-TIMBER-DemocraticRepublicoftheCongo-Risk-Assessment-EN-V1.2.pdf>. [Preferred by Nature, 2017]
- ⁶¹Preferred by Nature, 2017.
- ⁶²ATIBT, 2020.
- ⁶³Engunda Ikala et al., 2018.
- ⁶⁴World Bank. 2003. "Aide Memoire, Republique Democratique du Congo, Mission de Suivi du Secteur Forestier (1er – 12 juillet 2003)." World Bank. Accessed September 30, 2020. <https://www.inspectionpanel.org/sites/www.inspectionpanel.org/files/ip/PanelCases/37-Request%20for%20Inspection%20Annex%208.pdf>.
- ⁶⁵Greenpeace. 2015. "Trading in Chaos: The Impact at Home and Abroad of Illegal Logging in the DRC." Greenpeace. Accessed October 9, 2020. https://wayback.archive-it.org/9650/20200401220222/http://p3-raw.greenpeace.org/africa/Global/africa/publications/forests/2015/Trading_In_Chaos.pdf. [Greenpeace, 2015]
- ⁶⁶Ministère de l'Environnement, Conservation de la Nature et Développement Durable, 2016. Arrêté ministériel N° 062/CAB/MIN/ECN-DD/04/00/RBM/2016 du 12 août 2016 portant annulation de trois contrats des concessions forestières 001, 002 et 003/15 du 16 août 2015 conclus entre le Ministère de l'Environnement, Conservation de la Nature et Développement Durable et la Société La Millénaire Forestière SARL (SOMIFOR SARL) ainsi que la Société Forestière pour le Développement du Congo (FODECO SARL). DR Congo cancels illegal concessions. Central African Forests Initiative (CAFI). 4 July 2017.
- ⁶⁷Mongabay. 2018. "DRC breaches logging moratorium for Chinese-owned companies." *Mongabay*, February 23. Accessed October 8, 2020. <https://news.mongabay.com/2018/02/drc-breaches-logging-moratorium-for-chinese-owned-companies/>.
- ⁶⁸Ngounou, Boris. 2020. "CONGO: Greenpeace opposes allocation of 9 logging concessions." Greenpeace. Accessed September 30, 2020. <https://www.afrik21.africa/en/congo-greenpeace-opposes-allocation-of-9-logging-concessions/>.
- ⁶⁹Fern. 2020. "China's Forest Footprint: Time for Action on the Ground." *Fern*, April 8. Accessed September 30, 2020. <https://www.fern.org/publications-insight/chinas-forest-footprint-time-for-action-on-the-ground-2099/>.
- ⁷⁰ATIBT, 2020.

- ⁷¹ Rainforest Foundation UK. 2019. "Donors called on to address breakdown in forest governance in DR Congo as Chinese company accused of widespread illegal logging." Rainforest Foundation UK. Accessed October 8, 2020. <https://www.rainforestfoundationuk.org/global-donors-called-to-address-forest-governance-breakdown-in-dr-congo-as-chinese-company-accused-of-widespread-illegal-logging>. [Rainforest Foundation UK, 2019]
- ⁷² WRI Reviewer, 2020.
- ⁷³ Greenpeace Africa. 2019. Industrial Logging in the DRC: 24 null and void concessions need to be immediately returned to the state. Greenpeace Africa. Accessed October 8, 2020. https://storage.googleapis.com/planet4-africa-stateless/2019/04/bc1196f4-briefer-final-english-v3.pdf?_ga=2.182047015.1639573244.1601914386-1987558273.1600197538.
- ⁷⁴ Global Witness. 2018. "Total Systems Failure. Exposing the Global Secrecy Destroying Forests in the Democratic Republic of Congo." Global Witness. Accessed October 8, 2020. <https://www.globalwitness.org/en/press-releases/new-investigation-reveals-systemic-illegal-logging-european-company-drc/> [Global Witness, 2018]
- ⁷⁵ Ishay Mulumba, Francine. 2018. "Illegal Logging Grows in DRC – Along With Corruption and Harm to the Environment." Global Press Journal. Accessed October 8, 2020. <https://globalpressjournal.com/africa/democratic-republic-of-congo/illegal-logging-growing-drc-along-corruption-harm-environment/>. [Ishay Mulumba, 2018]
- ⁷⁶ Ishay Mulumba, 2018.
- ⁷⁷ Lawson, 2014.
- ⁷⁸ OI-FLEG RDC. 2013. "Note de Briefing: Analyse de la Fiscalité Forestière (Briefing Note: Analysis of Forestry Taxation)." Resource Extraction Monitoring. Accessed October 8, 2020. http://www.observationrdc.info/documents/REM_OIFLEG_2013_taxes_DRC.pdf. [REM, 2013]
- ⁷⁹ Resource Extraction Monitoring. 2012. "Dérives de l'exploitation forestière artisanale OI REM, mai 2012 - Observation Indépendante de la mise en Application de la loi forestière et de la gouvernance en RDC (Drifts of artisanal logging OI REM, May 2012 – Monitoring the implementation of independent Implementation of forest law and governance in the DRC)." Resource Extraction Monitoring. Accessed October 8, 2020. https://www.rem.org.uk/documents/Note_artisanale_OIFLEG_RDC_REM_7_2012.pdf. [REM, 2012]
- ⁸⁰ Assembe-Mvondo, 2015.
- ⁸¹ Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo. 2016. Arrêté Ministériel n°084 du 29 octobre 2016 Portant Conditions et Règles d'Exploitation des Bois d'œuvre. October 29, 2016. <http://extwprlegs1.fao.org/docs/pdf/Cng174125.pdf>. [Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ministerial Order 84, 2016]
- ⁸² REM, 2012.
- ⁸³ ATIBT, 2020.
- ⁸⁴ ATIBT, 2020.
- ⁸⁵ Preferred by Nature, 2017.
- ⁸⁶ Preferred by Nature, 2017.
- ⁸⁷ Greenpeace, 2015.
- ⁸⁸ WRI Reviewer, 2020.
- ⁸⁹ Lawson, 2014.
- ⁹⁰ Global Witness, 2018.
- ⁹¹ Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ministerial Order 84, 2016.
- ⁹² Center for International Environmental Law. 2015. "Campaign Update. Using CITES to Protect Afrormosia in Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)." Center for International Environmental Law (CIEL). Accessed November 9, 2020. <https://www.ciel.org/project-update/using-cites-to-protect-afrormosia-in-democratic-republic-of-congo-drc/>.
- ⁹³ CITES. 2014. "Missing permits and verification of permits, Notification to the Parties No. 2014/017, 2 April." CITES. Accessed October 10, 2020 <http://cites.org/sites/default/files/notif/E-Notif-2014-017.pdf>.
- ⁹⁴ CITES. 2018. "Seventieth meeting of the Standing Committee, Sochi (Russian Federation), 1-5 October 2018. Application of Article XIII in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. SC70 Doc. 27.3.2.1." Convention on International Trade in

Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES). Accessed November 9, 2020. <https://cites.org/sites/default/files/eng/com/sc/70/E-SC70-27-03-02-01.pdf>.

⁹⁵ CITES. 2018. "Seventieth meeting of the Standing Committee, Sochi (Russian Federation), 1-5 October 2018. Application of Article XIII in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. SC70 Doc. 27.3.2.2." Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES). Accessed November 9, 2020. <https://cites.org/sites/default/files/eng/com/sc/70/E-SC70-27-03-02-02.pdf>.

⁹⁶ CITES. 2019. "Eighteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties, Colombo (Sri Lanka), 23 May – 3 June 2019. Consideration of Proposals for Amendment of Appendices I and II. CoP18 Prop. 53." Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. Accessed September 30, 2020. <https://cites.org/sites/default/files/eng/cop/18/prop/E-CoP18-Prop-53.pdf>.

⁹⁷ Lawson, 2014.

⁹⁸ Lawson, 2014.

⁹⁹ REM, 2012.

¹⁰⁰ Global Witness, 2018.

¹⁰¹ Global Witness, 2018.

¹⁰² Rainforest Foundation UK, 2019.

¹⁰³ Global Witness, 2018.

¹⁰⁴ Global Witness, 2018.

¹⁰⁵ Lawson, 2014.

¹⁰⁶ Global Witness. 2013. "The cut price sale of DRC's forests." Global Witness. Accessed October 9, 2020 <https://www.globalwitness.org/reports/cut-pricesale-drcs-forests/>.

¹⁰⁷ Preferred by Nature, 2017.

¹⁰⁸ REM, 2012.

¹⁰⁹ Preferred by Nature, 2017.

This Timber Legality Country Risk Dashboard (Dashboard) was drafted by Forest Trends and funded by a grant from the United States Department of State, Bureau of Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs. The opinions, findings, and conclusions stated herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the United States Department of State or any other party. The United States supports efforts to raise awareness of and combat global illegal logging and associated trade. This dashboard contributes to these ongoing efforts.

The Dashboards have been compiled from publicly available information sources to support risk assessments on the legality of timber products entering international supply chains. The Dashboards are for educational and informational purposes only. The Dashboards have been drafted with input from the Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) and are subject to external peer review. The Dashboards will be updated periodically based on newly available information.

Forest Trends shall not be liable for any indirect, incidental, consequential, special, punitive or other similar damages, including but not limited to loss of revenues, lost profits, lost data or business interruption or other intangible losses (however such losses are qualified), arising out of or relating in any way to any party's reliance on the information contained in the Dashboards.

